

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-00o-

In Re:

PG&E CORPORATION AND PACIFIC  
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Debtor.

) Case No. 19-30088

) Chapter 11

)

) San Francisco, California

) Tuesday, October 19, 2021

) 10:00 AM

)

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' SEVENTY-SIXTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY / PASS THROUGH CLAIMS) FILED BY PG&amp;E CORPORATION [10537]

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES (All present by video or telephone):

For the Debtor:

THOMAS B. RUPP, ESQ.

Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP  
650 California Street  
Suite 1900  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
(415) 636-9015

Also Present:

Ora and Willie Green  
Individual claimants

Kristen Jensen, PG&amp;E

Court Recorder:

LORENA PARADA/ANKEY THOMAS  
United States Bankruptcy Court  
450 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Transcriber:

SHARONA SHAPIRO  
eScribers, LLC  
7227 N. 16th Street  
Suite #207  
Phoenix, AZ 85020  
(973) 406-2250Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
transcript provided by transcription service.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2021, 10:00 AM

-○○○-

3 (Call to order of the Court.)

4 THE CLERK: Court is now in session, the Honorable  
5 Dennis Montali presiding. Calling the matter of PG&E  
6 Corporation. I'll bring in Mr. Rupp.

7 THE COURT: Can we have an appearance by Mr. or Mrs.  
8 Green?

9 THE CLERK: I do not --

10 THE COURT: Oh, yeah, I do see --

11 THE CLERK: I do. I see Ms. Ora Green. I'll bring  
12 her into the courtroom.

13 THE COURT: All right. Come on in.

14 Mr. Rupp, can you make your appearance?

15 MR. RUPP: Good morning, Your Honor. Thomas Rupp of  
16 Keller Benvenutti Kim, on behalf of the reorganized debtors. I  
17 will also note that Kristen Jensen from PG&E is observing  
18 today's hearing.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you both. Good morning to  
20 both of you. We're waiting to bring Ms. Green in.

21 THE CLERK: Your Honor, I attempted to bring Ms. Green  
22 in, and it looks -- oh, there she is.

23 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Green, good morning. I  
24 can see your name on the screen. I need to ask you to activate  
25 your microphone and your camera, if you are so inclined.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   Ms. Green, if you can hear me, I need you to activate  
2 your camera -- I mean, your microphone and camera.

3                   MS. GREEN: I'm unmuted.

4                   THE COURT: All right.

5                   MS. GREEN: Can you hear me now?

6                   THE COURT: I can.

7                   MS. GREEN: Okay.

8                   THE COURT: Can you hear me?

9                   MS. GREEN: Yes.

10                  THE COURT: All right. Ms. Green, are you going to  
11 turn your camera on or not? It's your choice.

12                  MS. GREEN: Okay. I'll start the video. It says it  
13 can't start video, failed to start. Please select --

14                  THE COURT: Try again, down on the left hand corner,  
15 where you see the word "video", you see a red line through it.  
16 You should be able to click on it.

17                  MS. GREEN: It said it can't start the video.

18                  THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll do this orally. Just  
19 state your name for the record, please.

20                  MS. GREEN: My name is Ora Green.

21                  THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And is your  
22 husband going to be participating with you or not?

23                  MS. GREEN: Willie, are you here?

24                  MR. GREEN: Yeah.

25                  MS. GREEN: Yeah, my husband's here also. Yes.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   THE COURT: Well, is he going to be joining us on the  
2 camera or speaking? It's up to him. It doesn't matter. I  
3 just need one of you to be --

4                   MS. GREEN: He said not at this time.

5                   THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, Mr. Rupp, Mr. and  
6 Ms. Green filed some more papers late in the last few days. Do  
7 you want to add anything or have anything to say in response?  
8 Because I have some questions for Ms. Green, but I want to see  
9 what the company's position is at this point.

10                  MR. RUPP: Well, Your Honor, I don't think I have  
11 anything to say in response to the additional papers. I think  
12 there were two additional filings, which we can call a third  
13 response and a fourth response, in addition to the first and  
14 second.

15                  The third was largely replicating the statements and  
16 testimony offered in the first response. It did offer some  
17 additional photographs, many of which were -- a few of which  
18 seem to be repeated, but several others which seemed to just be  
19 of work being done in the street.

20                  And the fourth response, which I think was filed  
21 Friday, but posted to the docket yesterday, included, I think,  
22 a couple of photographs, most of which were repeated from prior  
23 filings. But it also did include, again, the report -- the  
24 alleged expert report that the Greens had first introduced in  
25 their first response.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   THE COURT: I mean, I had the same impression that it  
2 was largely duplicative, but I wanted to give you a chance.

3                   Ms. Green, let me ask you a few basic questions here.  
4 I'm looking specifically at the document that you and your  
5 husband filed on September 20th. That was originally -- the  
6 title said "Memorandum in support of opposition to motion".  
7 And that was the first of several things you filed. And I just  
8 have a couple of questions to clarify. So do you remember that  
9 document, or do you have it in front of you?

10                  MS. GREEN: Yeah, I do.

11                  THE COURT: By the way, I would add that, although you  
12 and your husband did sign that document, there are some others  
13 that were filed that were not signed. And it may be necessary  
14 to get you to sign them.

15                  But here are my questions. So on the very first  
16 page -- it's actually -- I beg your pardon, it's page 3, but  
17 it's the first -- it's the page under the title "Argument".  
18 And right in the first paragraph 1, you say that: "Our home  
19 security camera and pictures were taken of the damaged  
20 equipment staging in front of Magnolia".

21                  I don't know that I know where those pictures are. Do  
22 you believe that those pictures have been included in what  
23 you've been filing so far?

24                  MS. GREEN: The ones from the security camera and the  
25 ones that were taken, yeah.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   THE COURT: Okay. Which doc --

2                   MS. GREEN: Not all of the ones for the security  
3 camera, because they have numbers and writing on them and  
4 some --

5                   THE COURT: Well, okay, but can you tell me where I  
6 would find them based upon the various documents that you  
7 filed?

8                   MS. GREEN: The last ones, I believe, that I sent out,  
9 I don't think there were pictures from the security camera  
10 itself, except for the one that was in the car. And that's --

11                  THE COURT: Okay.

12                  MS. GREEN: That's the one with the lady walking up  
13 the street showing that --

14                  THE COURT: See, I don't know where I find that  
15 picture. So where is there a picture of a person walking up  
16 the street?

17                  MS. GREEN: Okay.

18                  THE COURT: If you filed it, you just need to tell me  
19 where I can look at it, and if you didn't file it, I presume I  
20 can give you an opportunity to do it. But you're talking about  
21 something that I can't locate.

22                  MS. GREEN: Do you have any of the pictures where it  
23 shows the work on the street?

24                  THE COURT: Yes, I do.

25                  MS. GREEN: Okay. There was one where it shows a

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 person, and she's walking up the street and she has a yellow  
2 vest.

3 THE COURT: I'm sorry; I don't see that.

4 Mr. Rupp, do you see that picture?

5 MR. RUPP: I need more information on --

6 MS. GREEN: I had sent several pictures in. I wasn't  
7 for sure that the pictures actually went through because of the  
8 way my computer presented it. I wasn't sure if the pictures  
9 actually went through; that's why I sent them again.

10 THE COURT: Well, you have to understand that we do  
11 have a postal service that also sends pictures. You filed a  
12 document on the 25th of September. And the first page was a --  
13 it looks like an email that has the subject line "Sewer camera  
14 inspection".

15 And then the next page is a Gmail document that has a  
16 very hard to see picture. And then the next page is a PG&E  
17 letter to you and your husband about the inspection. And then  
18 the next picture is a picture that shows a concrete saw on the  
19 ground behind what I assume is your car.

20 And then the next picture just shows a crack; I can't  
21 say where. And that's it. I'm at a loss to find a picture of  
22 any woman with a vest on, or any other kind of outfit. I have  
23 no pictures that I can find of any woman. All right. So  
24 that's a missing picture. And I don't see any picture that  
25 includes any security camera data.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   The next page says that -- or the next question -- so  
2 that's an unknown answer there.

3                   MS. GREEN: Your Honor, pardon me.

4                   THE COURT: Pardon me?

5                   MS. GREEN: Excuse me. The pictures that you  
6 described, is there any way I can describe why I sent it, or  
7 let you know why I actually sent it?

8                   THE COURT: Well, no, the way you need to do it is  
9 when you refer to a picture that I don't have, and Mr. Rupp  
10 doesn't have, we need to find a way you to get those pictures  
11 to us. And one way to do it is to mail them.

12                  MS. GREEN: Okay.

13                  THE COURT: That's an old reliable way. It's a little  
14 slower. But at the moment, neither Mr. Rupp nor I can identify  
15 the picture that you're describing. But your statement to me  
16 is that the picture shows the damage. That's what you said.  
17 And it shows the equipment staging; is that correct?

18                  MS. GREEN: Yes.

19                  THE COURT: Okay. So make a note. That's probably a  
20 picture or pictures that I need to see.

21                  MS. GREEN: Okay.

22                  THE COURT: In the same document that you filed, you  
23 say that work done on 2845 Magnolia driveway was unlike work --  
24 other installations on the block. How do you know that?

25                  MS. GREEN: Because what we did is we talked to the

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1       neighbors, and also too, you -- when you come down the street,  
2       you can look and see that they did not go directly onto their  
3       property. You know, they stayed, kind of, like on the street  
4       part. With our property, they came actually up onto our  
5       driveway and cut a hole into the driveway.

6                  THE COURT: Okay. I understand that. But again, what  
7       was not done on other property doesn't help me understand what  
8       did happen. I don't think there's any doubt -- PG&E doesn't  
9       doubt and doesn't question that it did do some work on your  
10      property.

11                 So what I'm telling you is that what's important is  
12      what was done on your property, not what was not done on other  
13      properties. So the same document, the same place where I'm  
14      reading says: "An investigational report of the damage was  
15      prepared by a structural engineer company and (audio  
16      interference) to PG&E, shows damage and causes the type of  
17      damage after viewing damage." Where is that structural report?

18                 MS. GREEN: I also sent that report in also.

19                 THE COURT: Well, now, is that the report that that  
20      was prepared by -- let me get the name. Mr. Kisak?

21                 MS. GREEN: Yes.

22                 THE COURT: But you see, the Kisak report is dated  
23      August 10th, 2019. But your letter says an investigational  
24      report was prepared by a structural engineer and forwarded. So  
25      that's the document you mean, right? There was no other

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 report?

2 MS. GREEN: That is the incorrect date.

3 THE COURT: Well, I'm just looking at the document.  
4 The document that you presented and filed on September 20th was  
5 dated -- date of report 8/10/2019.

6 So what's important here is you're not aware of some  
7 other report? That's the only report that you're aware of, is  
8 that correct?

9 MS. GREEN: That is the only written report --

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MS. GREEN: -- of that company. But he -- they had  
12 came up before and actually looked at it also.

13 THE COURT: Well, tell me -- let me talk about that  
14 that then. Did Mr. Kisak himself come to your property?

15 MS. GREEN: Yes, he did. He came with another  
16 consultant with him to --

17 THE COURT: Well, I'm --

18 MS. GREEN: -- actually view the damage.

19 THE COURT: I'm interested in him. So when did he  
20 come?

21 MS. GREEN: I don't have the exact date when he came,  
22 but I have his card.

23 THE COURT: Oh, was it right about the time just after  
24 the work was done?

25 MS. GREEN: No, because I was talking to other

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 companies also too, to have them come out and look at it. And  
2 some of them did, but I was not -- they didn't seem  
3 professional or reliable companies, so I didn't, you know, deal  
4 with them.

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MS. GREEN: So he was not the first choice that I had  
7 to come and look at our property.

8 THE COURT: Okay. But he's the only report that you  
9 have. This is the only report that you have?

10 MS. GREEN: Other than the one from the Ergeon, the  
11 company that fixes driveways. He did a small report also too.

12 THE COURT: Well, that's the Gmail that you sent in  
13 that's also part of your filing. There was a Gmail to you from  
14 Ergeon. It was dated August 14th, 2021. And that refers to  
15 the total driveway replacement and quotes and repairing and so  
16 on; is that correct?

17 MS. GREEN: Yes, and that was the second time I had  
18 contacted that company.

19 THE COURT: Well, is this the only response you have  
20 from that company?

21 MS. GREEN: He had sent an estimate also too, and a  
22 mapping of what he believed the work scope would be, and a  
23 description of what he was going to perform.

24 THE COURT: So where would I find that estimate?

25 MS. GREEN: It should be included in with the report

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 that states Ergeon.

2 THE COURT: Well, Ms. Green, it's not. The only  
3 document that says Ergeon is just this one page that I just  
4 told you, that's part of the filing that you made on September  
5 20th. Remember that I, at the Court, can only know what you  
6 have provided me, and --

7 MS. GREEN: Right.

8 THE COURT: -- there's just one sheet of paper that  
9 has the name Ergeon on it, and it in fact describes -- the  
10 author describes what isn't included. So that, for example,  
11 where the writer wrote: "Quote for replacing one of the four  
12 sections", his answer was: "We would not be able to provide a  
13 quote for this." And for replacing the three-by-five section,  
14 again, he writes: "This is below our project minimum." And  
15 then he says: "Replacing the garage door" --

16 MS. GREEN: The floor.

17 THE COURT: -- "in our" -- excuse me, you're  
18 correct -- "floor; in our opinion, this is the best solution".  
19 But it doesn't have a quote. And then he says: "Repairing the  
20 cracks. This is not something we would offer or advise."

21 All right. So at least, as we're talking today, you  
22 don't have anything other than that one page from --

23 MR. RUPP: Your Honor?

24 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

25 MR. RUPP: If I may add, I think, just to be -- for

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 the record, I think you're reading from Docket 11278, page 11.

2 THE COURT: Right.

3 MR. RUPP: And I think if you flip back to page 10,  
4 you'll see two estimates there, Area A and Area B. If you see  
5 the website at the bottom, it's Ergeon.com. So I'm not sure  
6 what the -- it's not part of the Gmail. I'm not sure what the  
7 connection is there. But perhaps Ms. Green could --

8 MS. GREEN: Mr. Rupp and Your Honor, what had happened  
9 is, earlier, maybe about a year and a half earlier, I had  
10 contacted Ergeon, and it was a different representative at that  
11 time. I had spoken with him, and he did, you know, have -- he  
12 did have someone come out and look at the damage, and then the  
13 information was given to him. And he did do a description of  
14 their services in his estimate at that time.

15 The one that you are looking at, Your Honor, I believe  
16 that's the one that was done after we had spoken to Ms. Jensen,  
17 because we had also let her know that we had a second estimate  
18 also too, and she questioned us about the name of the company  
19 and how much it was. And I didn't know for sure exactly what  
20 the dollar amount was. So I, you know, gave a ballpark figure.  
21 But yeah, we basically had two estimates. I contacted the  
22 company again and asked him could he do another estimate for  
23 me, but this time with the estimate to do a repair and a  
24 replacement comparison. And so I believe that's what you have  
25 a part of.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Rupp, in the document that I  
2 have, which is 11278, page 9 is a portion of the estimate from  
3 the other consultant. Page 10 is a partial photograph. And  
4 page 11 is the one-page email that I've been describing to Ms.  
5 Green.

6                   So we clearly have a problem of trying to -- hold on  
7 for a second. Let me ask you to wait on your response. I want  
8 to pull up a document on my screen because I want to look at  
9 something. I can't share this with you at the moment, but I  
10 think I might be able to clarify what I've been looking at so  
11 there's no confusion. One second.

12                  MR. RUPP: I think I misspoke, Your Honor. The  
13 estimate is page 9, and the email is page 11. I had omitted  
14 page 10 from my paper copy here, because it was a photograph,  
15 to save on ink. So those are the two pages, 9 and 11.

16                  THE COURT: Okay. Again, I'm asking you both to wait  
17 a minute for me, because I'm looking at a screen. I do see  
18 this partial photograph of the thing. And then I see the one  
19 page with the email, and then I see the report from Mr. Kisak.  
20 So I can't explain why we don't have the same document. I'm  
21 looking at something that I just took right off of what's on  
22 our court docket. So I didn't make this up.

23                  Okay. So Ms. Green, there's some open questions here,  
24 and I have a couple more questions for you too. So yeah, once  
25 again, you do say, in the document that I was referring to

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 previously, you're referring to the investigative report, the  
2 possibility of structural damage from cracks in the garage  
3 floor. There was more than one estimate.

4 But you see, I don't have -- I only have one estimate,  
5 and that is the estimate from Mr. Kisak. And that is the  
6 document -- well, let me let me clarify that. Your proof of  
7 claim that you and your husband filed includes a couple of  
8 pages of figures that has the term "project estimate". And  
9 that is the one that has a breakdown of amounts. There's about  
10 9,200 dollars to remove the slab, another 10,000 dollars or so  
11 for the driveway installation, and a grand total -- well, the  
12 fees and structural damage, and so on, of 30,877. And that is  
13 that is the claim that you are asserting. Am I correct?

14 MS. GREEN: That is.

15 THE COURT: Okay. But who prepared that project  
16 estimate?

17 MS. GREEN: That was prepared by R. Sinclair.

18 THE COURT: By Mr. Kisak?

19 MS. GREEN: Yes.

20 THE COURT: By Mr. Kisak?

21 MS. GREEN: Right.

22 THE COURT: Right?

23 MS. GREEN: Right.

24 THE COURT: Okay. And so that that document that's  
25 part of your proof of claim is the same -- it really goes with

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 the investigative report that we've been talking about. Now,  
2 that sort of is all encompassing, and as I read it, that would  
3 remove your driveway and install a new driveway and the rest of  
4 the detail work. Am I correct?

5 MS. GREEN: Yes.

6 THE COURT: Okay, now I want to go to another one of  
7 your pictures or -- I'm sorry, have you looked at the  
8 photograph that accompanies Kristen Jensen's declaration, that  
9 was filed on October 12th, document number 11408; are you  
10 familiar with that picture? Ms. Green, are you with me?

11 MS. GREEN: Yes. Which picture were you referring to?

12 THE COURT: I'm asking you if you have in front of you  
13 the document called "Declaration of Kristen Jensen". She's a  
14 PG&E person. She filed a declaration on October 12th, document  
15 number 11408.

16 MS. GREEN: 11408?

17 THE COURT: Do you have that?

18 MS. GREEN: That was the one with the pictures?

19 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. Do you have it in front of  
20 you?

21 MS. GREEN: Okay. It's not in front of me, but I do  
22 have it.

23 THE COURT: Well, can you? I mean, I want you to be  
24 able to look at it when I'm looking at it. I can't --

25 MS. GREEN: I'm looking at it.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   THE COURT: I was going to try to do it on the screen  
2 so we could look at it together, but that's a little more  
3 complicated. So if you have a copy of it, I promise you I have  
4 a copy of it. So tell me when you have in front of you.

5                   MS. GREEN: Can you see if that one is on the table?  
6 The one -- the last one from Kristen Jensen with the picture --  
7 pictures?

8                   Your Honor, I am familiar with the pictures that --  
9 the one with the pictures. I have it. I have it.

10                  THE COURT: Okay. So let's look at Exhibit A, and Ms.  
11 Jensen says that Exhibit A was taken from Google Maps from  
12 September 2015, so that would have been before the work.

13                  Is that a picture of your driveway and where your cars  
14 are and all?

15                  MS. GREEN: Yeah, I recognize the picture. This is  
16 the picture that I sent to her also.

17                  THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I can see a small crack in  
18 the sidewalk behind the right-hand car outside the gate. Do  
19 you see that?

20                  MS. GREEN: Is that a black and white picture or a  
21 color picture?

22                  THE COURT: Well, I'm looking at a black and white. I  
23 don't know --

24                  MS. GREEN: Okay. The one that I'm looking at, it's a  
25 small, tiny black and white picture. So -- but I have the

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 original pictures that I sent her to coincide with what she's  
2 talking about.

3 THE COURT: Well, I'm not questioning your pictures at  
4 the moment. I'm interested in the pictures that she has. Oh,  
5 no, it is in color. I have a printed copy, but I also now -- I  
6 can look it up -- a color copy. So I had printed out, on a  
7 printer at home, a black and white, but I'm looking at it in  
8 color. Okay, so do you -- so my question is, though, there are  
9 two cars in the driveway. There's a gray station wagon on the  
10 right. I presume that's your car.

11 MS. GREEN: Yes.

12 THE COURT: Or your family car. And then outside the  
13 gate, I can see a crack, kind of a diagonal crack, but I don't  
14 see anything more than that. Is that what your driveway looked  
15 like in the fall of 2015?

16 MS. GREEN: Yeah, but I never recognized cracking in  
17 there.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I don't either. But now go  
19 down to the second picture, which is also in color, and that's  
20 Exhibit B. And Ms. Jensen says that -- she represents that  
21 that was a Google Maps picture from February 2019. There's  
22 only one car in the driveway, and there's a black car on the  
23 right. Is that your car or your home?

24 MS. GREEN: Yes.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Where's the damage? Show me where

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 to find the damage in the driveway in relat --

2 MS. GREEN: What's the date of this picture?

3 THE COURT: Pardon me?

4 MS. GREEN: What is the date of this picture?

5 THE COURT: February 2019.

6 MS. GREEN: Okay. The damage is where the crack was  
7 running from the street. It ran up, and I did verify with  
8 other people -- ran up through the lines like the -- what do  
9 they call them, expansion joints in the concrete, and came up  
10 onto our driveway right between where the -- like the posts  
11 (ph.) are, kind of like behind my car, a crack. And then it  
12 ran up the driveway and started spreading outward, because  
13 my -- my driveway -- this is a replacement driveway. This is  
14 not the original driveway that came with the house. We  
15 replaced it with a better driveway, and it wasn't cracked.

16 THE COURT: I understand. I understand. But is this  
17 the condition after the PG&E work was done?

18 MS. GREEN: Yeah. I can barely --

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MS. GREEN: -- see this picture that she -- this  
21 picture, but --

22 THE COURT: Well, what I'm asking you -- your estimate  
23 of 30,000 dollars suggests to replace the entire driveway. And  
24 I can see what might be a small crack right to the left of  
25 where the license plate of your car is. But I can't see much

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 more. You tell me, because you're familiar with your home,  
2 what do you see in that picture that could help me understand  
3 what you're dealing with?

4 MS. GREEN: This is not just the driveway that's  
5 cracked. The garage floor is cracked also.

6 THE COURT: Is there any picture of the garage floor  
7 being --

8 MS. GREEN: Floor? Those sort of pictures, when you  
9 see the pictures with just a crack, I -- I sent -- directed  
10 down on the crack itself, so you can see, you know, the width  
11 and how deep the crack was and everything. The cracks -- these  
12 pictures don't show it, but up the middle of that -- that  
13 middle seam, the --

14 THE COURT: Yes.

15 MS. GREEN: -- it goes from there, and then it runs  
16 under our garage door, crack in our garage door. It came up  
17 kind of like the middle of the garage, and then started  
18 cracking outwards.

19 THE COURT: Okay. But where --

20 MS. GREEN: That leads to --

21 THE COURT: Ms. Green, where would I find a picture of  
22 that? I mean, we could come and inspect your house. I don't  
23 want to do that. You haven't provided a picture of that with  
24 the door open to show the crack in the garage. So --

25 MS. GREEN: I sent a picture, and you see a sheet of

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 sheet rock with it. That's a picture of the garage floor with  
2 one of the deep cracks. And then there was another picture  
3 that was sent, and it shows, right when you're coming up, like,  
4 from the back of the car in the driveway, there is a crack  
5 that's running up the driveway. These pictures --

6 THE COURT: Well, we haven't found those pictures.

7 Mr. Rupp, have you seen the picture that Ms. Green --

8 MR. RUPP: Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 MR. RUPP: Your Honor, there may be two pictures that  
11 Mr. Green is referring to. I'll give you the docket and page  
12 numbers. The first is in the third response; that's Docket  
13 11429-6.

14 THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. 11429-6?

15 MR. RUPP: Correct.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Hold on a second. I have to see if  
17 I can find that picture. Just one second. I tried to pull  
18 these pictures out and save them ahead of time and here I am --

19 MS. GREEN: I wish I would have knew this would have  
20 been like this, I would have just taken all the pictures and  
21 just mailed them to you so you could have --

22 THE COURT: Well, I may have you do that.

23 MS. GREEN: Yeah, that would be a better way for you  
24 to understand what I'm trying to --

25 THE COURT: Yeah.

## PG&amp;E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   MS. GREEN: -- explain to you, because I also sent the  
2 pictures on more than one occasion to PG&E also, two of those,  
3 and most likely the pictures Mr. Rupp is looking for.

4                   THE COURT: Mr. Rupp, the picture that you described,  
5 429-6, what is the exhibit, in the document? On the docket, I  
6 see -- I can't open them all together. I have to open them  
7 one-by-one. So what is the --

8                   MR. RUPP: Pardon me, Your Honor. It's 11429-7.

9                   THE COURT: So is it Exhibit I?

10                  MR. RUPP: I think it's Exhibit J.

11                  THE COURT: Okay. Let me look at that one.

12                  Ms. Parada, can we activate the share-screen feature?

13                  THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. I have allowed for  
14 sharing -- screen sharing.

15                  THE COURT: Okay.

16                  Let me see if I can -- I got to see if I can get this  
17 document to open up, and then I'll -- I want to let Ms. Green  
18 take a look at it and Mr. Rupp, and see where -- I mean, we  
19 obviously -- we need to be on the same page, as they say --

20                  MS. GREEN: All right.

21                  THE COURT: -- but it takes a moment for the picture  
22 to open up. I'm opening it up on my laptop from the court  
23 document, and then once I have it up on the screen, I'll  
24 activate share the feature, so we can all be looking at the  
25 same thing.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1           It's taking its fancy time to open.

2           Okay, there we go. Now, one minute. All right.

3 Well, guess what? It certainly does show some damage. Okay,

4 so --

5           MS. GREEN: Excuse me, Your Honor? And I didn't  
6 mention also too, there's a three-by-five hole cut into the --  
7 what is that -- the left side of the driveway, and it was  
8 covered with blacktop. And according to Mr. Gurd and Mr.  
9 Kisak, that's a part of the cause of the damage, because it was  
10 not filled with the proper material after that was cut. And so  
11 it was unstable, because the rebar and the -- I guess, the  
12 filling underneath was removed.

13          THE COURT: Well, you see, a lot of that information  
14 is very interesting, but it's not in his report, so I can't --

15          MS. GREEN: It's in his written report, under factual  
16 background.

17          THE COURT: Okay. Okay, one second.

18          Okay, Ms. Parada, let's see if we can -- if I can  
19 share this screen and we can do that. One second here.

20          Okay. Ms. Green, can you see the picture on the  
21 screen now?

22          MS. GREEN: Yes.

23          THE COURT: So that's the picture from inside your  
24 garage; is that right?

25          MS. GREEN: It's running from under the garage door

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 into the garage, yes.

2 THE COURT: And what on the left there, is that a --  
3 what is that vertical --

4 MS. GREEN: It's a piece of sheetrock.

5 THE COURT: Just a piece of sheetrock.

6 MS. GREEN: Um-hum. Yes, sir. You know, I have this  
7 stuff in the garage.

8 THE COURT: Yeah, okay. So you're telling me that  
9 that -- I want you to clarify and you would swear to this, if I  
10 asked you to do it under oath, that this condition did not  
11 exist before the work was done, but it occurred after PG&E did  
12 the work on your driveway?

13 MS. GREEN: I would swear to that, and that's the  
14 reason why I had --

15 THE COURT: And what was the date of this picture,  
16 roughly? Was it around the -- shortly after the work, or much  
17 more recently?

18 MS. GREEN: Let's see. It might have a date on the  
19 picture. It was -- I know it was in 2017, and that's when I  
20 called PG -- because it's on my camera, 2017. I think in  
21 April, 2017.

22 THE COURT: Okay. But what -- but the work was done  
23 way back before then, right?

24 MS. GREEN: No, this is about the time the work was  
25 being done, because I --

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   THE COURT: Okay.

2                   MS. GREEN: -- I noticed the driveway damage and then  
3 the crack, and then that's when I called PG&E to tell them that  
4 something not good was happening here. And actually, even  
5 before that, one of the representatives was out there -- one of  
6 them workers. And I went out and I got his attention and had  
7 him come over, and look at what was going on here, and then he  
8 directed me to a PG&E sign that was posted on the side on my  
9 driveway. And he said, you need to call PG&E to talk to them  
10 about this. And so, that's when I called PG&E, and I spoke to  
11 Ms. -- I think Cruz (ph.), Ms. Cruz.

12                  THE COURT: I understand. I understand. And then --  
13 and so, oh, I've gone back to the other picture. I'm sorry. I  
14 just -- I'm going to turn on -- well, I managed to take us back  
15 to the prior picture, what I did with it. I think I  
16 inadvertently switched to a different document and I'm not  
17 going to try and find it.

18                  So Ms. Green, the described -- the one you showed me  
19 that we were looking at together a moment ago -- I'm going to  
20 turn the sharing feature off. The picture that we just looked  
21 at with the larger spacing inside the driveway -- actually,  
22 excuse me, inside the garage -- that is still -- that condition  
23 still exists today.

24                  MS. GREEN: Yes.

25                  THE COURT: You haven't had it repaired?

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 MS. GREEN: No.

2 THE COURT: And the estimate -- going back to Mr.  
3 Kisak's report, in his estimate, that includes that slab in the  
4 garage would be, what, part of the slab removal?

5 MS. GREEN: Yes.

6 THE COURT: So that's -- and you understand that to be  
7 the concrete slab, as distinguished from the driveway?

8 MS. GREEN: That's for the concrete slab and the  
9 driveway.

10 THE COURT: Well, but the estimate is broken into  
11 parts. There's --

12 MS. GREEN: Oh, okay, I see.

13 THE COURT: -- slab removal, basic, and equipment, and  
14 debris, and that's just under -- a little over 9,000 dollars.  
15 And then there's the concrete driveway, et cetera. So is that  
16 what you understand to be what -- that his report is  
17 suggesting, that it would be -- presumably, they'd remove the  
18 slab, replace the entire slab -- we'll call it the garage --  
19 the floor of the garage --

20 MS. GREEN: Yes.

21 THE COURT: -- for both sides, the entire slab, the  
22 entire floor, correct?

23 MS. GREEN: Yes.

24 THE COURT: And then the entire driveway?

25 MS. GREEN: Yes.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   THE COURT: And then that's the 30,000-dollar total.

2 Okay. I have a couple of more questions for you first. Hold  
3 on one second, please.

4                   Now what I don't know is, in the report that the  
5 company filed, it said that they acknowledged creating the  
6 three-by-five hole, and were going to repair it, and then you  
7 insisted and refused to let the repair go forward. What  
8 happened there? What -- why did you refuse to let them do that  
9 repair?

10                  MS. GREEN: Your Honor, in the beginning, when they  
11 came in the morning or afternoon, when they cut that hole, I  
12 was in the house. My car was in the driveway. At no time, did  
13 workers from PG&E knock on my door or anything to notify me  
14 that they were coming to cut up my driveway. Okay.

15                  So after they did it, and I notified them that it was  
16 messed up like that, I was there at the house, and then there  
17 was a man in my driveway with a -- what did he have -- a big,  
18 old giant saw and a wheelbarrow, and then he even had -- like  
19 the saw was sitting on the ground, and the children were out  
20 there.

21                  And so I went and I told him to leave out of my  
22 driveway, and go and get his boss, to have somebody come back,  
23 because I needed to talk to somebody, because you cannot just  
24 keep coming onto my property and just cutting it up, and I  
25 don't have a right to say anything or you don't even notify me

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 that you're there to do anything? Even to bring -- to move my  
2 car, to bring the children into the house, or anything?

3 That's the reason why I told him to leave and go get  
4 his supervisor so I can speak to him.

5 THE COURT: Okay, I understand the need to take care  
6 of the children and to move your car, and so on and so forth,  
7 but why not have the company fix the hole?

8 MS. GREEN: I did not stop them from fixing the hole.  
9 That man left and he never came back.

10 THE COURT: Well, so what happened to the hole?

11 MS. GREEN: And so no one from PG&E never notified me  
12 or anything. They never came back.

13 THE COURT: Ms. Green, I understand that. But what  
14 happened to the hole? It's not there anymore.

15 MS. GREEN: I'm sorry?

16 THE COURT: What happened to the hole?

17 MS. GREEN: The hole is still there.

18 THE COURT: I didn't see it in the picture.

19 MS. GREEN: No, it is still there to this day. It's  
20 still there.

21 THE COURT: Was it on that picture that we looked at  
22 from the Google site, because I --

23 MS. GREEN: I don't -- it may have been hidden behind  
24 the -- those brick posts. But yeah, that hole is still there.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 MS. GREEN: It's still there and cracks are running  
2 from it.

3 MR. RUPP: Your Honor?

4 THE COURT: Mr. Rupp, yes, sir?

5 MR. RUPP: I think what Ms. Green may be referring to  
6 is the three-by-five patch. It's my understanding that that  
7 was patched with asphalt as kind of a temporary fix, and the  
8 offer was to provide a more permanent concrete fix. I think  
9 that's what's being discussed here.

10 MS. GREEN: Right.

11 MR. RUPP: I don't think there's an open hole or  
12 anything on the driveway.

13 MS. GREEN: No.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 But Ms. Green, I don't -- okay. Is that correct what  
16 Mr. Rupp described?

17 MS. GREEN: It is correct.

18 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm looking at the picture of  
19 your driveway that Ms. Jensen prepared, which is the Exhibit B.  
20 I don't know where the patch is. Can you -- if I were standing  
21 behind your car there, or standing right at the -- on the  
22 sidewalk, where would I see that patch?

23 MS. GREEN: Okay. If you look at the left side of the  
24 screen, that's the side where the gas meters are located on my  
25 house. If you look at the brick post that's right there, where

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 you see the shadow?

2 THE COURT: Yes.

3 MS. GREEN: You can see just a little piece of the  
4 black, like another dark shadow there? That's the corner of  
5 the black patch that's there.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So the patch is still there.

7 MS. GREEN: Yes.

8 THE COURT: There's a patch there. It's not -- no  
9 one's going to trip and break an ankle, but it's just a patch.  
10 I mean, I take it if I were standing there, I would see a  
11 patch, right?

12 MS. GREEN: Right.

13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. A couple of more  
14 questions, and then I'm in --

15 MR. RUPP: Your Honor?

16 THE COURT: Yes, sir?

17 MR. RUPP: Another photograph that Ms. Green filed in  
18 her second response, this is 11355. It's on page 4. And that  
19 provides a view of the patch. I don't know what -- at what  
20 date this photo was taken, but you can see the patch there.

21 THE COURT: Yeah, I did see that patch. I mean, I --  
22 well, you know what? I can see it's the picture that has the  
23 saw there.

24 MR. RUPP: That's right.

25 THE COURT: And her car or a car is to the left. I

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 understand. Okay. It wasn't clear to me what I was looking  
2 at, but I do see that.

3 And Ms. Green, I presume that you're in agreement with  
4 all of that?

5 So just go back to what you recall when the PG&E  
6 equipment was there, I take it they did something that created  
7 the hole that they had to patch; is that right?

8 MS. GREEN: They -- well, they never spoke to me about  
9 anything. They were just out there doing what they were doing,  
10 regardless if we were the property owners.

11 THE COURT: Right.

12 MS. GREEN: But they were saying, I guess, the gas  
13 line, might have been under there. I don't know. It could  
14 have been in the dirt to the left, and they made the hole.

15 THE COURT: Okay. But you -- when you walked out of  
16 your house, and well, I mean, you saw the saw. You saw what  
17 has subsequently been -- or presumably they patched it, I mean.  
18 That -- Mr. Rupp was correct then in describing the location  
19 which is behind the left-hand pole or pillar, and to the left  
20 of the car, correct?

21 MS. GREEN: Yes.

22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Green, the problem  
23 I have with what to do at this point is that Mr. Kisak, I'll  
24 take your word for it. You told me that he came to your  
25 property and he -- did he come a second time or only once and

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 then prepared that report?

2 MS. GREEN: I -- he may have came once, but another  
3 representative from his company, the company that he was with  
4 came -- maybe had came out also.

5 THE COURT: There was a second person from --

6 MS. GREEN: No, maybe Mr. Kisak maybe came once, I  
7 think.

8 THE COURT: So but two -- at least somebody, whether  
9 it was the same person or a different person, from Sinclair  
10 Group, came a second time, and prepared the report that  
11 estimates the damage at 80,000 -- excuse me -- 30,877.

12 Now, did you read the opposition that Mr. Rupp filed  
13 to this, that complains that some of the, what Mr. Kisak  
14 prepared, was really just copied from somewhere else?

15 MS. GREEN: I'm sorry?

16 THE COURT: Mr. Rupp filed an opposition, a reply,  
17 actually. It's called a directed reply. He filed a reply on  
18 October 12th, and he did, among other things, he said that some  
19 of Mr. Kisak's report is copied from other sources. Have you  
20 read that document? Read his argument.

21 MS. GREEN: Yes, I did.

22 THE COURT: Well, what do you --

23 MS. GREEN: But what I -- excuse me?

24 THE COURT: What do you know about that?

25 MS. GREEN: What I assumed is that he was using that

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 information to back up what he was seeing also too, because he  
2 did say that the reason why the concrete had failed and stuff,  
3 he said it was structural load, something was on the  
4 driveway -- well, something was did to the driveway, and things  
5 were on the driveway that were too heavy to be on that  
6 driveway, because he had also said when they did the blacktop  
7 patch, they used a steamroller to come onto to the driveway and  
8 roll it, and that's -- what is that -- smashing and  
9 vibrating -- vibrations.

10           And then also, too, he had stated that because PG&E  
11 was basically staging on a daily basis in front of our house,  
12 that -- now I've sent pictures of the big old humongous trucks  
13 that was out there in front of the house that what was there in  
14 that area at that time was more than what the driveway could  
15 take, especially since it was patched and everything.

16           And then also too, I sent a picture of a steamroller  
17 backing up into my driveway. They were -- because I have the  
18 only wide driveway on the street. Everyone else has tiny  
19 driveways.

20           THE COURT: Ms. Green, I understand the steamroller,  
21 or whether that's a steamroller or a roller, we don't have to  
22 worry about how it's operated. But nobody parked a big truck  
23 on your driveway. You have no recollection -- or you didn't  
24 see an eighteen-wheel truck parking on your driveway, did you?

25           MS. GREEN: No, but at more than one occasion, I had

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 to shut my gate, because they were backing into my driveway to  
2 turn around on the street.

3 THE COURT: Okay. I understand that. But my point  
4 is, Mr. Kisak's report reads as though there's an eighteen-  
5 wheel humongous -- to use your word -- humongous vehicle on  
6 your driveway, and there's no proof that that ever happened.  
7 So I don't know -- Mr. Kisak might be using an example of what  
8 might happen if you put an eighteen-wheel large truck carrying  
9 tens of thousands of pounds. It doesn't mean that that  
10 actually happened on your driveway. You don't know one way or  
11 the other, do you, the weight of what was on your driveway?

12 MS. GREEN: No, he used that as an example in my  
13 opinion. It was an example, but it was not parked on my  
14 driveway, but it was parked at the very edge of my driveway at  
15 more than one occasion. They were stationed by my house.

16 THE COURT: Yes, but no -- you never saw an eighteen-  
17 wheeler, did you?

18 MS. GREEN: Parked on my driveway or in front of my  
19 house?

20 THE COURT: Either. Either. An eighteen --

21 MS. GREEN: Yes.

22 THE COURT: That's a pretty big --

23 MS. GREEN: But not an eighteen-wheeler, but it was --  
24 I've never seen a truck this big -- this truck was big as the  
25 house, longer than --

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   THE COURT: Well, I understand. Ms. Green, I don't  
2 question it. You're the only one of us here in this hearing  
3 today, or maybe your husband, who were there, and I don't doubt  
4 that you saw a big truck. But Mr. Kisak's report, though,  
5 suggests that it was an eighteen-wheeler, and it was  
6 violating -- and was exceeding the proper weight on your  
7 driveway, and that's speculation. And the question --

8                   MS. GREEN: I --

9                   THE COURT: Pardon me?

10                  MS. GREEN: Excuse me, Your Honor. I never read  
11 anyplace in any of the reports that Mr. Kisak had did for us  
12 that said an eighteen-wheel diesel truck parked directly on my  
13 driveway. I never -- and I never told him anything like that.  
14 So I don't know where that's coming from. That is not the  
15 truth, and there's no way in the world that I would have  
16 allowed an eighteen-wheel whatever to come up into my driveway.

17                  THE COURT: Well, Ms. Green, I agree with you. I  
18 wouldn't either if it were my driveway. But the point is,  
19 you're asserting a 30,000 dollar (audio interference) that PG&E  
20 is resisting, and it includes an investigative report from  
21 someone, who presumably knows what he's talking about, and he's  
22 making some presumptions, including an average tractor-trailer  
23 truck with eighteen large wheels, et cetera, et cetera, would  
24 cause something to fail.

25                  Now, I agree, it probably would. But that doesn't

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 mean that an eighteen-wheel truck with all these other  
2 characteristics and -- was there, or that your driveway was  
3 vulnerable to this hypothetical. It just -- it makes my  
4 problem more difficult. So I've had a -- I got a couple of  
5 more questions for you.

6 Did you pay Mr. Kisak for this report?

7 MS. GREEN: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you.

8 THE COURT: I said, did you -- I said I have a couple  
9 more questions for you. Did you pay Mr. Kisak for this report?

10 MS. GREEN: Yes.

11 THE COURT: How much did you --

12 MS. GREEN: But -- I'm sorry.

13 THE COURT: How much did you pay?

14 MS. GREEN: It was 1,500 dollars, and that would be  
15 refunded back, if they did the work.

16 THE COURT: Okay. And have they -- it's now, of  
17 course, 2021. Have they offered to redo the work, even now?

18 MS. GREEN: Yes. You saying, have they offered to do  
19 the work?

20 THE COURT: Well, you haven't done the work. You'd  
21 like PG&E to give you money, but they -- even if they gave you  
22 money, the question's you don't have an existing bid to repair  
23 the work -- repair the driveway and the garage, correct?

24 MS. GREEN: Yes, they would do it, because I had spoke  
25 with them, and let them know that even though they did the

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 estimate, we still had to go into litigation, so this would not  
2 be something that would happen within a year or something like  
3 that. But in the event we did not go with that company,  
4 there's still Ergeon also.

5 THE COURT: Well, but what is Ergeon's quote? You  
6 have a current quote for doing the same work?

7 MS. GREEN: Yes, that was the -- I think the quote  
8 that you were looking at, because I had got in contact with  
9 them again, and explained to them what was happening, and  
10 Ergeon did another quote for me.

11 THE COURT: Okay. But that's the document that I  
12 don't have.

13 MS. GREEN: I think that's the email that you have.  
14 The Gmail.

15 THE COURT: Well, no, but I already told you that's --

16 MS. GREEN: That's the most recent one that was in --

17 THE COURT: I tried to explain that that email tells  
18 me the things that he -- they aren't going to do.

19 MS. GREEN: This is awfully weird, because I did send  
20 that to you. I'm looking at the quote from Ergeon, and they  
21 were saying, 18,000 and something to do that.

22 THE COURT: Well, is that -- I do have a page -- one  
23 page that has no title, that says, Area A, 8,264 dollars, Area  
24 B, 9,870, total, 18,000. Is that what you call Ergeon quote?

25 MS. GREEN: Right, yes.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   THE COURT: Okay. But there's no connection. I mean,  
2 I can't make sense of it.

3                   MS. GREEN: There should have been a cover page that  
4 said requote with that.

5                   THE COURT: Well, again, I'll ask Mr. Rupp, have you  
6 seen something that had more information that I have just  
7 described from Ergeon?

8                   MR. RUPP: Your Honor, the two pages that reference  
9 Ergeon are at docket 11278, page 9, and page 11. Those are the  
10 only two I see.

11                  THE COURT: That's right. And they -- but page 9,  
12 okay.

13                  Ms. Green?

14                  MS. GREEN: Yes.

15                  THE COURT: I have those two pages in front of you.  
16 One is an email on Gmail --

17                  MS. GREEN: Yes.

18                  THE COURT: -- driveway replacement, and it's signed  
19 by Alan Gurd of Ergeon, and then there is a one page of Area A,  
20 Area B, called requote. Are those two pages together that you  
21 understand to be the quote from Ergeon?

22                  MS. GREEN: Right, yes.

23                  THE COURT: All right. So the question for me to ask  
24 you is, if Ergeon will do this job for 18,000, why should I  
25 order Mr. Kisak's company to do it for 30,000 dollars?

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 MS. GREEN: Because Mr. Kisak has more -- in my  
2 opinion -- more experience at -- when I researched him, then  
3 Ergeon does.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Well, see, here's a  
5 (indiscernible). I understand that. But if I'm going to  
6 impose liability on PG&E for something that it contests, and  
7 Mr. Rupp has been patient, and hasn't told me if the company  
8 wants to challenge this any further, I'd have to award you an  
9 award that compensates you, but if Ergeon will do it, that's  
10 almost half of what somebody else will do, and it's not  
11 appropriate for me to make anyone who has caused damage pay  
12 more than is reasonably necessary.

13 And I don't know -- so my question for you, is are you  
14 going to do the work, if you are paid by PG&E, or is this just  
15 something that you believe that you're entitled to, and you're  
16 just going to go on with the driveway in the way it is, and  
17 you'd like to be compensated for your damage, if you can  
18 prepare to answer that.

19 MS. GREEN: I would like to have my driveway and my  
20 garage floor repaired, because I believe -- just like Mr. Kisak  
21 said, and also too, Mr. Gurd from Ergeon, that there's a  
22 possibility that what happened here may have caused structural  
23 damage to our property. And then also too, what Mr. Kisak was  
24 saying in their report, you do have things that are in your  
25 garage that you need to have removed and stored someplace or

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 something like that, that includes that -- his quote includes  
2 more than what Mr. Ergeon's quote includes.

3 THE COURT: Well, I understand that. I understand  
4 that, Ms. Green. But one of the concerns that I have is, that  
5 I don't have -- even from Mr. Kisak, I don't have somebody who  
6 has indicated in his professional experience and has signed to  
7 us a report that says, in his opinion, the conditions that he  
8 has described were all caused by what PG&E's contractor did.  
9 PG&E says they didn't do it, but you make a persuasive case  
10 that they or their contractor, ARB, maybe did do something.

11 The question is, what did they do and what will make  
12 you whole? In other words, you don't have evidence that --  
13 although there is a concern that there may be structural  
14 damage, there's been no analysis of any damage to anything  
15 other than what we've been talking about. Do you understand  
16 what I'm saying?

17 MS. GREEN: Mr. Kisak, I think in his report, had  
18 mentioned something about going through to verify that that  
19 situation -- whether or not that situation had happened.

20 THE COURT: But he didn't. No one came in and went  
21 under the house and crawled around and checked the supports, or  
22 whatever access there is to analyze any structural situation at  
23 your home, correct?

24 MS. GREEN: No.

25 THE COURT: Yeah.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   Mr. Rupp, you complain that -- and I don't fault you  
2 for it -- that some of Mr. Kisak's material appears to be  
3 plagiarized. And he didn't sign it, and it's not a traditional  
4 report. And you used the hearsay word, but your client and  
5 you, had your own bout of hearsay also. Ms. Jensen has matched  
6 Mr. Kisak with hearsay by telling her -- telling me what  
7 somebody told her in the department.

8                   The question I have for you is, I think Ms. Green has  
9 persuaded me based upon what we've gotten here, is that she  
10 needs to be compensated more fully than perhaps where things  
11 are before this hearing.

12                  Is the company willing to revisit again and try to  
13 work something out, or should I schedule this for -- should I  
14 take it under advisement and make a decision on this record?  
15 Or do you want to supplement it? Or do we need to turn this  
16 into a bigger problem than it really is, and have a trial, and  
17 have Mr. Kisak come and testify, and Mr. -- the gentleman from  
18 Ergeon, and -- or are you going to accept the fact that it does  
19 appear that PG&E is responsible through its subcontractor, I  
20 understand, or something more than it appears from your  
21 position so far?

22                  MR. RUPP: Well, Your Honor, I want to start -- Thomas  
23 Rupp for the reorganized debtors. I think what we've seen  
24 today is that I don't think I have been persuaded, and I don't  
25 think I could persuade my client on this record that it's --

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 that there's really been liability demonstrated here. We've  
2 looked at the -- we've seen a photo of inside the garage.  
3 There's a crack there. Ms. Green described a crack running up  
4 the driveway into the garage, but to be honest, I could not see  
5 it from any of the photos that --

6 THE COURT: How about the photo we just looked at?  
7 The one we just looked at with the crack? I mean, Ms. Green's  
8 claim is it wasn't there before.

9 MR. RUPP: All it said -- I see a crack in the garage.  
10 I don't have a date for it, and I don't have a wider view of it  
11 that is connected with the three-by-five patch. What we have  
12 looked at, we've looked at a couple of photos of Ms. Green's  
13 driveway, before-and-after photos that look almost the same,  
14 and -- but for that three-by-five patch, which is asphalt, and  
15 PG&E or its subcontractor offered to fix that portion.

16 There's -- it's really -- there's just -- we wouldn't  
17 be here. We tried mediation once. I would hope we could come  
18 to a reasonable settlement with Ms. Green. And they're sort of  
19 two conversations that can be had here. If it's a 30,000-  
20 dollar claim to redo a driveway and redo a garage, that's one  
21 conversation. If it's a conversation to redo the three-by-five  
22 patch, that's a separate conversation, more likely to reach a  
23 settlement.

24 I think I don't know -- I would not prefer the Court  
25 to take it under advisement yet. I think we can supplement the

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 record. I think if anything, we can have an opportunity for  
2 informal, but if necessary, formal, discovery, because I think  
3 the lynchpin here is Mr. Kisak's report, that sort of connects  
4 the construction with the wider damages to the driveway and the  
5 garage. And I think at the very least, I would like the  
6 opportunity to speak to Mr. Kisak directly, and question him  
7 whether -- informally -- whether in a deposition or whether  
8 under oath before you, since he's the one that Ms. Green keeps  
9 referring to as providing the basis for connecting the damage  
10 between the construction on the street and the crack in the  
11 garage.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 Ms. -- I have another question for Ms. Green.

14 Ms. Green, clarify something -- you may have said this  
15 and I'm just not keeping track of it. Mr. Kisak came and then  
16 another person came or somebody. So you had two visitors from  
17 the company. And what I don't remember, and I want you to  
18 clarify, when that second visit occurred, were you there with  
19 the person?

20 MS. GREEN: Yes, I -- yeah, I was.

21 THE COURT: Okay. And were the -- what I'll call the  
22 larger crack that we just described that we looked in that  
23 picture, Exhibit J, was that in -- was that present when that  
24 person came and inspected the property and made the estimate  
25 for you?

## PG&amp;E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   MS. GREEN: Yes. And Your Honor, may I say something  
2 also to --

3                   THE COURT: Well, just -- yes, you can, but just  
4 answer my question. In other words --

5                   MS. GREEN: Yes.

6                   THE COURT: That person, whether it's Mr. Kisak or  
7 somebody else, he physically was in your garage and saw the  
8 crack --

9                   MS. GREEN: Yes.

10                  THE COURT: -- and concluded that -- okay. What do  
11 you want to say?

12                  MS. GREEN: Okay. I was listening to what Mr. Rupp  
13 had spoken about Mr. Kisak saying that this is his idea, or  
14 something like that. No, it's not. It's my idea. It's my  
15 house. I was here when they were working in front of our  
16 house. We were basically trapped in our house for maybe like  
17 weeks at a time while they were working there in front of our  
18 house with heavy equipment, your house shaking, unbelievable  
19 noise, and very intense construction.

20                  And it wasn't just one time they was there in front of  
21 the house. It was multiple times. That's why I have multiple  
22 pictures. Some of the work that they did, I feel like, it  
23 was -- it's unprofessional some of the things that they were  
24 doing. I don't believe they would have did this if they were  
25 in Montclair or Piedmont or something like that.

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   So some of the work that they did, the methods that  
2 they were doing things, like cutting trenches in front of our  
3 driveway, and then if you got to come in, your car drops into a  
4 trench to get into the driveway. They were doing things.  
5 So -- and parking heavy -- I mean, trucks and things like that  
6 in front of our house. And even down to the thing with that  
7 man coming to cut the sidewalk and everything. Things that  
8 they were doing were not professional.

9                   We've had work done on our house. We have another  
10 house that was built in the back of our house, so we seen how  
11 construction standards are to be done, and I don't feel like  
12 this is what we had at this point. And this shows by the fact  
13 that they cut that patch into the driveway and then refused --  
14 but first did not ask for permission or even notify me that  
15 they were doing this, and then they did it, and then came back  
16 to do it again. You --

17                  THE COURT: Okay.

18                  MS. GREEN: -- did not notify me once, but you didn't  
19 notify me twice. And then --

20                  THE COURT: Go ahead.

21                  MS. GREEN: -- refuse to even come back. So no, it  
22 just shows that it's a lack of respect from the people that you  
23 had to come here and do this kind of work. I've had  
24 construction work done. We know about this. But this is not  
25 what we were facing. This was something different. And

## PG&amp;E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 they --

2 THE COURT: Ms. Green? Okay. Ms. Green, my point is,  
3 I'm not going to comment on whether you were treated  
4 differently than someone in Montclair or somewhere else,  
5 because the fact is, work was done. We all -- I've had PG&E  
6 work on my street. Everybody does. And it's disruptive and  
7 inconvenient. And whether it's PG&E or the water company or  
8 the cable company or somebody. Construction on a street or in  
9 a neighborhood is loud and dirty and dusty and that's the way  
10 it is. And I promise you it's the same in Piedmont, as in  
11 Oakland.

12 But you clearly suffered some harm; there's no doubt  
13 about it. There's no doubt that, at least, something was  
14 caused by PG&E, that they tried to take care of, in terms of  
15 that patch. Your explanation to me, and the documents you  
16 provided, suggest to me that you are right. Mr. Rupp is not  
17 correct, and it appears that, based upon your explanation of  
18 all these things -- not the noise, not the rudeness, not the  
19 location, but the facts. The fact of heavy equipment turning  
20 in the driveway, stopping in the driveway, vibrating, and your  
21 own testimony that the larger damage that is evident inside the  
22 garage didn't happen, but for the work that was being done by  
23 PG&E.

24 The question then is, is that compensable, and should  
25 you and your husband recover that amount, to make you, not

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 whole, but better than you are. And we've been somewhat  
2 informal about this, because you've been on your own. You  
3 haven't -- you don't have a lawyer. You haven't followed some  
4 of more traditional rules by having your expert sign under  
5 penalty of perjury, or to recite his qualifications. And I've  
6 given you that freedom, and similarly I've not really made much  
7 about the fact that Ms. Jensen says that somebody told her that  
8 PG&E didn't do it. And she concludes with a couple of Google  
9 pictures, that this might not be PG&E's fault.

10           I'm prepared to be of the opinion that PG&E probably  
11 has more liability than it wants to admit, but I'm not prepared  
12 on this record, to say, therefore, you get a 30,000-dollar  
13 recovery, in part, because it may be far more than is necessary  
14 based upon what is, in fact, the harm that you've suffered. If  
15 an expert said to me, I am an expert; I am a structural  
16 engineer; I understand driveways, and I know that not only did  
17 this crack occur, and I've measured it, there is this other  
18 potential, and therefore, in my opinion, the damage is X  
19 dollars. I don't -- we're not there yet.

20           And Mr. Rupp is asking, and I'm prepared to grant the  
21 request of not making a decision about anything yet. I'm  
22 prepared to let Mr. Rupp and his client decide whether they  
23 want to try again to compensate you by your own agreement, and  
24 I'll give him a reasonable period of time, to see if there's  
25 any willingness to make a proposal -- not to start a mediation

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 again, but just to see whether someone at PG&E will say yes,  
2 we'll pay Mr. and Mrs. Green X dollars for getting their work  
3 done, or we'll -- we will compensate a third party who does the  
4 work of a certain amount -- one or the other, before I make a  
5 decision that people are stuck with.

6           But I will tell you, Ms. Green, what I'm going to  
7 insist that you must do is you'll have to get somebody, whether  
8 it's Mr. Kisak, or somebody at the other company, will have to  
9 just state in that person's opinion that these conditions have  
10 caused this consequence and the estimate of the damage is what  
11 it is. In other words, Mr. Kisak, if he were the one, would  
12 say, I -- first of all, he would make it in an oath, so it's  
13 under penalty of perjury. Not that I question his honesty or  
14 yours or anyone else's. It's just that's the way you have to  
15 do it. And secondly, that he say -- it says why he is  
16 qualified to express himself. And thirdly, what his  
17 professional opinion is.

18           So to state it differently, he said, I am a structural  
19 engineer, or I am what I am; this is my experience. I  
20 inspected the property; this is what I observed. I interviewed  
21 the owner, who described -- you, Ms. Green -- who described the  
22 work that occurred. In my opinion, what I've observed now is  
23 and was caused by the circumstances that the owner described to  
24 me. And he can read the reports also. And then to say, in my  
25 opinion, the Greens have suffered a damage to their property,

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 that would require a damage recovery of -- if it's the 30,000-  
2 dollar figure, it's the 30,000-dollar figure.

3 If he gives me a report like that, I will again ask  
4 PG&E if they want to submit anything to the contrary, and if  
5 the answer is no, then I'll make a decision based upon that.  
6 If he wants -- if Mr. Rupp or the company wants to insist that  
7 the expert testify so that he can be cross-examined, it's not a  
8 huge expense. In this Zoom age, we can have people testify  
9 on -- from their home office and there's no significant  
10 expense, but they still have to do it.

11 My job is to listen to the evidence and make a  
12 decision. It isn't to develop the evidence. I'm not an  
13 advocate. I'm not for you or against you. I'm not for PG&E or  
14 against PG&E. I'm just trying to learn the relevant facts.

15 So, Ms. Green, what I'm going to say is, first of all,  
16 I'm going to thank you for participating and making a thorough  
17 presentation. I don't think I need you to send any further  
18 pictures. You've made it clear now what you have and what  
19 documents you're relying on.

20 I'm going to give Mr. Rupp an opportunity to confer  
21 with his client and to decide whether he wishes to confer with  
22 you and your husband to see if there's a consensual agreement,  
23 which I encourage, but I can't order. I can't order them to  
24 pay you what you think you're ordered, and nor can I do  
25 anything other than make a decision based upon the evidence.

## PG&amp;E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1                   So I'll give Mr. Rupp a period of time to see if  
2 there's an agreement. If not, I will ask him -- well, to tell  
3 me -- he'll tell me that he -- I'll give him a deadline to see  
4 if there's an agreement. If he says no, there's been no  
5 agreement, he doesn't have to tell me what the details are,  
6 just that there is no agreement.

7                   Then I will ask you to make sure and have Mr. Kisak  
8 prepare a more formal analysis consistent with what I said.  
9 Again, I'll repeat it to you. If he has experience in this  
10 kind of work, he knows what I'm talking about. But it's a  
11 statement in writing, under oath, that describes his  
12 qualifications to express opinions about things of this nature.  
13 That's typically his experience in structural matters or damage  
14 analysis or whatever. His experience of actually visiting this  
15 property, and if there was someone else who did the visit, that  
16 person may need to explain it.

17                  And his opinion that, based upon the information he  
18 has -- he wasn't there when the work was done, but he's  
19 entitled to have you explain to him what you observed. So you  
20 observed the trucks, the turning around, the noise, the  
21 vibration. You, as an owner, experienced the property before  
22 the work was done, and what was the situation with the work on  
23 your property after the work was done. And Mr. Kisak, or  
24 whoever it is, can then conclude, as his opinion, based upon  
25 what the owner said to me happened, and this having happened,

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 this is my conclusion as to damage that was caused by what  
2 happened, not by an eighteen-wheel truck that wasn't there, or  
3 not by some other reference material that is hypothetical. I  
4 don't want hypotheticals. I want the real story, based upon an  
5 expert.

6 And experts do this all the time. Whether it's  
7 property damage or personal injury or automobile crashes or  
8 tragedies, they take the evidence, they take the consequences,  
9 and they express opinions as to what the cause was and what the  
10 responsibility is. It's not a big deal for someone who knows  
11 how to do it.

12 If the company is unwilling and unable -- and you are  
13 unable to reach an agreement, then I'll give you a deadline for  
14 that report, and then I'll give PG&E an opportunity to tell me  
15 whether they wish to have a further trial, like this -- I say  
16 trial, it's a hearing with that expert being examined under  
17 oath, and questioned if that's what PG&E wants to do. And then  
18 based on that, I'll listen to argument and make a decision.

19 MR. RUPP: Your Honor?

20 THE COURT: Yes, sir?

21 MR. RUPP: Your Honor, if I may make a suggestion, in  
22 the spirit of advancing this towards a settlement. I do think  
23 that a report being on file first would advance the cause of  
24 settlement between me and my client, just because the report as  
25 is, as it was submitted, is fairly questionable, and is not

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 signed, and for all the other reasons we listed in our reply.  
2 I do think that if Mr. Kisak would put a report on the record  
3 under his name that we could have a look at, that would promote  
4 settlement.

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 Ms. Green, do you understand what I need from you?

7 MS. GREEN: So basically, you're saying that this  
8 cannot move forward unless I go back to Mr. Kisak to get  
9 another report from him, and this is basically what you and  
10 PG&E is stating.

11 THE COURT: Well, it can't go forward based upon the  
12 evidence, because PG&E has a right to say the evidence is  
13 incomplete. I'm trying to explain to you, the evidence before  
14 me isn't even signed. It isn't even -- there isn't even an  
15 author, and there isn't a recital of the qualifications of that  
16 author. So you can't just have a report. I mean, I could  
17 write a report on your garage, and say, I think the garage is a  
18 mess, and you ought to pay 100,000 dollars. But I'm not  
19 qualified to do that. That's why we want experts. So yes, you  
20 do have to do that.

21 Now, I can make it a little bit easy for you. We  
22 have -- or docket you for a very nominal expense, I think it's  
23 ten or fifteen dollars, or something like that. You can get a  
24 copy of the conversation we just had and my oral ruling, my  
25 oral comments. And you can provide that, and Mr. Kisak and you

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 can listen to it. And you can say to him, listen to what the  
2 judge expects you, Mr. Kisak, to provide and follow his  
3 instructions. And draft and write down what you think, what  
4 you heard the judge describe, what you want.

5 And Ms. Green, it may be a further imposition on you,  
6 but it also may get you a lot of money without a lot of more  
7 effort, if Mr. Rupp and his clients are so inclined. I can't  
8 order them to do it. And I can't, on this record, just  
9 announce, based upon everything we've heard today, that PG&E  
10 has to pay you 30,000 dollars.

11 So it is important, and I don't think it's very  
12 burdensome. It is a burden. But I think based upon the  
13 preparation that you've done, the way you've expressed yourself  
14 in terms of these arguments, you're familiar with the issue,  
15 and you're doing a good job of representing your interests  
16 here. And I would like to follow up by telling you to follow  
17 up and do that as requested.

18 MS. GREEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: So what I'll do, I will take this matter,  
20 not under submission. I will just -- well, let's do this.

21 Mr. Rupp, I guess what I'll do is, I'll just have a  
22 continued hearing on this matter, and let Ms. Green report back  
23 what progress she's made, getting what she's got to do. And if  
24 she takes my suggestion and starts Mr. Kisak to prepare that  
25 report, we don't have to have a hearing to say that, but she

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
1 can inform you of what's going to happen, and then we don't  
2 have to have a hearing until we make some more progress. Do  
3 you want to do it that way, or you want to -- you have some  
4 other suggestion?

5 MR. RUPP: I'm fine with continuing the hearing. I  
6 would like some indication from Ms. Green about the progress  
7 that has been made before the hearing, just to know whether  
8 she's been able to get the -- a formal expert report from Mr.  
9 Kisak, or whoever.

10 THE COURT: Ms. Green, let's do this. We have a  
11 hearing in the PG&E case, routine matters, on November 9th at  
12 10 o'clock. I'll continue today's hearing to that date and  
13 time. And if before then, you have made arrangements with Mr.  
14 Kisak or anyone else to prepare the report, you can simply  
15 advise Mr. Rupp that. And Mr. Rupp can inform the Court that  
16 the hearing can go over to a later date. We don't have to have  
17 a hearing if progress is being made on that communication. If  
18 you feel that you don't think that's appropriate or you don't  
19 want to do that, then you can discuss it with me at the  
20 November 9th hearing.

21 What my recommendation to you is, is to take my advice  
22 and urge Mr. -- not urge, I mean, arrange for Mr. Kisak to take  
23 out the hypotheticals and put in what's missing from his  
24 report, what I said, his qualifications, his experience in this  
25 kind of work, and his professional conclusions. And it can be

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 done in a written report, and it doesn't have to be filed with  
2 the Court, although it could be filed with the Court. It's up  
3 to you. More importantly, it's given to Mr. Rupp.

4 So if you choose not to do that, or believe that  
5 there's some other alternative, I can discuss that with you on  
6 November 9th at 10 o'clock. If you need more time to do that,  
7 or Mr. Kisak needs more time, you don't have to tell me. You  
8 can tell Mr. Rupp, and he'll inform my courtroom deputy that  
9 we'll continue the hearing.

10 You're still also free on your own, and perhaps to see  
11 if there's any willingness to just compromise this, without  
12 going back to mediation or going back to court. But I sense  
13 from my own experience, that what Mr. Rupp is saying, it's  
14 important to the company to have a more thorough report  
15 available from you, as through your representative on this  
16 matter, the expert. And maybe that will facilitate a  
17 consensual resolution that you'll both -- both sides will be  
18 satisfied with.

19 So I'm going to conclude the hearing with that. Thank  
20 you for your participation, both sides -- you too, Mr. Rupp --  
21 and preparation.

22 And I'll look forward either to further discussion of  
23 this nature, by way of a status report on November 9th, or if  
24 not, I'll encourage you, Ms. Green, to go ahead and follow up  
25 and get that going and work with Mr. Rupp directly, and try to

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 see if there can be a solution. Okay? Are you clear?

2 MS. GREEN: Yes, I have a question. Your Honor, if I  
3 needed to talk to you about something, I guess, more private,  
4 is there any way I could speak to you?

5 THE COURT: No, I'm not able -- not allowed to do  
6 that. I'm not allowed to have sort of one-on-one conversations  
7 with litigants. That's why we have to do everything on the  
8 record, and everything with your opponent. So I'm afraid I  
9 can't do that. If you --

10 MS. GREEN: But this doesn't really have to do with  
11 the case, but about --

12 THE COURT: Well, then I guess I don't know how to  
13 answer that question. I really am not supposed to do anything  
14 but discuss the case. I mean, if --

15 MS. GREEN: It's okay then. It's okay.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MS. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Rupp, for coming, and I do  
18 look forward to working with you to get this resolved, but just  
19 in a fair and equitable manner. This is my desire. I don't  
20 want this to go on; I really don't.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I appreciate your response,  
22 Ms. Green.

23 Thank you, Mr. Rupp for your time.

24 This is the only matter on the calendar today.

25 Ms. Parada, I'm going to -- unless you know otherwise,

PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company

1 I'm going to conclude the hearing.

2 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 Thank you, all. Good night and good bye, and --

5 MR. RUPP: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 MS. GREEN: Good bye.

7 THE COURT: Okay, good bye, Ms. Green, Mr. Rupp.

8 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 C E R T I F I C A T I O N  
2  
3I, Sharona Shapiro, certify that the foregoing transcript is a  
true and accurate record of the proceedings.5  
6 *Sharona Shapiro*  
7  
89 /s/ SHARONA SHAPIRO, CET-492  
10  
11 eScribers  
12 7227 N. 16th Street, Suite #207  
13 Phoenix, AZ 85020  
14  
15 Date: October 21, 2021  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

<b>A</b>	21:18;45:20;55:24 <b>Alan (1)</b> 38:19 <b>alleged (1)</b> 4:24 <b>allowed (4)</b> 22:13;35:16;56:5,6 <b>almost (2)</b> 39:10;42:13 <b>alternative (1)</b> 55:5 <b>although (3)</b> 5:11;40:13;55:2 <b>among (1)</b> 32:18 <b>amount (3)</b> 13:20;46:25;48:4 <b>amounts (1)</b> 15:9 <b>analysis (3)</b> 40:14;50:8,14 <b>analyze (1)</b> 40:22 <b>ankle (1)</b> 30:9 <b>announce (1)</b> 53:9 <b>anymore (1)</b> 28:14 <b>anyplace (1)</b> 35:11 <b>appear (1)</b> 41:19 <b>appearance (2)</b> 2:7,14 <b>appears (3)</b> 41:2,20;46:17 <b>appreciate (1)</b> 56:21 <b>appropriate (2)</b> 39:11;54:18 <b>April (1)</b> 24:21 <b>ARB (1)</b> 40:10 <b>Area (7)</b> 13:4,4;33:14;37:23, 23:38;19,20 <b>Argument (3)</b> 5:17;32:20;51:18 <b>arguments (1)</b> 53:14 <b>around (4)</b> 24:16;34:2;40:21; 50:20 <b>arrange (1)</b> 54:22 <b>arrangements (1)</b> 54:13 <b>asphalt (2)</b> 29:7;42:14 <b>asserting (2)</b> 15:13;35:19	<b>assume (1)</b> 7:19 <b>assumed (1)</b> 32:25 <b>attempted (1)</b> 2:21 <b>attention (1)</b> 25:6 <b>audio (2)</b> 9:15;35:19 <b>August (2)</b> 9:23;11:14 <b>author (3)</b> 12:10;52:15,16 <b>automobile (1)</b> 51:7 <b>available (1)</b> 55:15 <b>average (1)</b> 35:22 <b>award (2)</b> 39:8,9 <b>aware (2)</b> 10:6,7 <b>awfully (1)</b> 37:19	<b>behind (6)</b> 7:19;17:18;19:11; 28:23;29:21;31:19 <b>below (1)</b> 12:14 <b>Benvenutti (1)</b> 2:16 <b>best (1)</b> 12:18 <b>better (3)</b> 19:15;21:23;47:1 <b>bid (1)</b> 36:22 <b>big (8)</b> 27:17;33:12,22; 34:22,24,24;35:4; 51:10 <b>bigger (1)</b> 41:16 <b>bit (1)</b> 52:21 <b>black (7)</b> 17:20,22,25;18:7,22; 30:4,5 <b>blacktop (2)</b> 23:8;33:6	<b>2:1</b> <b>Call (7)</b> 2:3;4:12;19:9;25:9; 26:18;37:24;43:21 <b>called (6)</b> 16:13;24:20;25:3,10; 32:17;38:20 <b>Calling (1)</b> 2:5 <b>came (21)</b> 9:4;10:12,15,21; 19:9,14;20:16;27:11; 28:9,12;31:24;32:2,4,4, 6,10;40:20;43:15,16, 24:45:15 <b>camera (12)</b> 2:25;3:2,2,11;4:2; 5:19,24;6:3,9;7:13,25; 24:20 <b>Can (62)</b> 2:7,14,24;3:1,5,6,8; 4:12;6:5,19,20;7:23; 8:6,14;9:2;12:5;16:23; 17:5,17;18:6,13;19:18, 24:20;10:21;17:22;12, 16,16,24;23:18,18,19, 20:28;4:29;20:30;3,20, 22:39;17:42;19,25; 43:1;44:3;48:24;49:7, 8,24;50:24;52:21,23, 25:53:1,1;54:1,14,15, 16,19,25;55:5,8;56:1 <b>car (19)</b> 6:10;7:19;17:18; 18:10,12,22,22,23; 19:11,25;21:4;27:12; 28:2,6;29:21;30:25,25; 31:20;45:3 <b>card (1)</b> 10:22 <b>care (2)</b> 28:5;46:14 <b>carrying (1)</b> 34:8 <b>cars (2)</b> 17:13;18:9 <b>case (4)</b> 40:9;54:11;56:11,14 <b>cause (4)</b> 23:9;35:24;51:9,23 <b>caused (7)</b> 39:11,22;40:8;46:14; 48:10,23;51:1 <b>causes (1)</b> 9:16 <b>certain (1)</b> 48:4 <b>certainly (1)</b> 23:3 <b>cetera (3)</b> 26:15;35:23,23 <b>challenge (1)</b> 39:8
<b>B</b>	<b>back (19)</b> 13:3;21:4;24:23; 25:13,14;26:2;27:22; 28:9,12;31:5;33:1; 36:15;45:10,15,21; 52:8;53:22;55:12,12 <b>background (1)</b> 23:16 <b>backing (2)</b> 33:17;34:1 <b>ballpark (1)</b> 13:20 <b>barely (1)</b> 19:18 <b>based (13)</b> 6:6;41:9;46:17; 47:14;49:5,25;50:17, 24;51:4,18;52:11;53:9, 12 <b>basic (2)</b> 5:3;26:13 <b>basically (5)</b> 13:21;33:11;44:16; 52:7,9 <b>basis (2)</b> 33:11;43:9 <b>before-and-after (1)</b> 42:13 <b>beg (1)</b> 5:16 <b>beginning (1)</b> 27:10 <b>behalf (1)</b> 2:16	<b>bottom (1)</b> 13:5 <b>bout (1)</b> 41:5 <b>break (1)</b> 30:9 <b>breakdown (1)</b> 15:9 <b>brick (2)</b> 28:24;29:25 <b>bring (6)</b> 2:6,11,20,21;28:1,2 <b>broken (1)</b> 26:10 <b>built (1)</b> 45:10 <b>burden (1)</b> 53:12 <b>burdensome (1)</b> 53:12 <b>bye (3)</b> 57:4,6,7	<b>C</b>	<b>cable (1)</b> 46:8 <b>calendar (1)</b> 56:24 <b>CALIFORNIA (1)</b>

chance (1) 5:2	compensated (2) 39:17;41:10	11:18;13:10,21	16,21;57:3,7	54:12,16
characteristics (1) 36:2	compensates (1) 39:9	contests (1) 39:6	courtroom (2) 2:12;55:8	dated (3) 9:22;10:5;11:14
checked (1) 40:21	complain (1) 41:1	continue (2) 54:12;55:9	cover (1) 38:3	day (1) 28:19
children (3) 27:19;28:2,6	complains (1) 32:13	continued (1) 53:22	covered (1) 23:8	days (1) 4:6
choice (2) 3:11;11:6	complicated (1) 17:3	continuing (1) 54:5	crack (22) 7:20;17:17;18:13,13; 19:6,11,24;20:9,10,11, 16,24;21:4;25:3;42:3, 3,7,9;43:10,22;44:8; 47:17	deadline (2) 50:3;51:13
choose (1) 55:4	compromise (1) 55:11	contrary (1) 49:4	cracked (3) 19:15;20:5,5	deal (2) 11:3;51:10
circumstances (1) 48:23	computer (1) 7:8	conversation (4) 42:21,21,22;52:24	cracking (2) 18:16;20:18	dealing (1) 20:3
claim (5) 15:7,13,25;42:8,20	concern (1) 40:13	conversations (2) 42:19;56:6	cracks (5) 12:20;15:2;20:11; 21:2;29:1	debris (1) 26:14
clarify (6) 5:8;14:10;15:6;24:9; 43:14,18	concerns (1) 40:4	copied (2) 32:14,19	crashes (1) 51:7	debtors (2) 2:16;41:23
clear (3) 31:1;49:18;56:1	conclude (3) 50:24;55:19;57:1	copy (6) 14:14;17:3,4;18:5,6; 52:24	crawled (1) 40:21	decide (2) 47:22;49:21
clearly (2) 14:6;46:12	concluded (2) 44:10;57:8	corner (2) 3:14;30:4	created (1) 31:6	decision (7) 41:14;47:21;48:5; 49:5,12,25;51:18
CLERK (6) 2:4,9,11,21;22:13; 57:2	concludes (1) 47:8	Corporation (1) 2:6	creating (1) 27:5	declaration (3) 16:8,13,14
click (1) 3:16	conclusions (1) 54:25	couple (10) 4:22;5:8;14:24;15:7; 27:2;30:13;36:4,8; 42:12;47:8	cross-examined (1) 49:7	deep (2) 20:11;21:2
client (5) 41:4,25;47:22;49:21; 51:24	concrete (7) 7:18;19:9;26:7,8,15; 29:8;33:2	course (1) 36:17	Cruz (2) 25:11,11	demonstrated (1) 42:1
clients (1) 53:7	condition (3) 19:17;24:10;25:22	Court (189) 2:3,4,7,10,13,19,23; 3:4,6,8,10,14,18,21; 4:1,5;5:1,11;6:1,5,11, 14,18,24;7:3,10;8:4,8, 13,19,22;9:6,19,22; 10:3,10,13,17,19,23; 11:5,8,12,19,24;12:2,5, 8,17,24;13:2;14:1,16, 22:15;15,18,20,22,24; 16:6,12,17,19,23;17:1, 10,17,22;18:3,12,18, 25:19;3,5,16,19,22; 20:6,14,19,21;21:6,9, 14,16,22,25;22:4,9,11, 15,21,22;23:13,17,23; 24:2,5,8,15,22;25:1,12, 25:26;2,6,10,13,21,24; 27:1;28:5,10,13,16,18, 21,25;29:4,14,18;30:2, 6,8,13,16,21,25;31:11, 15,22;32:5,8,16,22,24; 33:20;34:3,16,20,22;	current (1) 37:6	Dennis (1) 2:5
coincide (1) 18:1	conditions (2) 40:7;48:9	35:1,9,17;36:8,11,13, 16,20;37:5,11,15,17, 22;38:1,5,11,15,18,23;	cut (7) 9:5;23:6,10;27:11, 14;45:7,13	department (1) 41:7
color (5) 17:21;18:5,6,8,19	confer (2) 49:20,21	39:4;40:3,20,25;42:6, 24;43:12,21;44:3,6,10;	cutting (2) 27:24;45:2	deposition (1) 43:7
coming (6) 21:3;27:14,24;35:14; 45:7;56:17	confusion (1) 14:11	45:17,20;46:2;51:20;	D	deputy (1) 55:8
comment (1) 46:3	connected (1) 42:11	52:5,11;53:19;54:10, 15;55:2,2,12;56:5,12,	described (11) 8:6;22:4;25:18; 29:16;38:7;40:8;42:3; 43:22;48:21,21,23	describe (2) 8:6;53:4
comments (1) 52:25	connecting (1) 43:9	56:11	describes (3) 12:9,10;50:11	described (11)
communication (1) 54:17	connection (2) 13:7;38:1	daily (1) 33:11	describing (3) 8:15;14:4;31:18	describing (3)
companies (2) 11:1,3	connects (1) 43:3	damage (30) 8:16;9:14,16,17,17; 10:18;13:12;15:2,12;	description (2) 11:23;13:13	description (2)
company (22) 9:15;10:11;11:11,18, 20;13:18,22;27:5;28:7; 32:3,3;37:3;38:25; 39:7;41:12;43:17;46:7, 8;48:8;49:6;51:12; 55:14	consensual (2) 49:22;55:17	18:25;19:1,6;23:3,9; 25:2;32:11;39:11,17, 23;40:14,14;43:9; 46:21;47:18;48:10,25;	desire (1) 56:19	desire (1)
company's (1) 4:9	consequence (1) 48:10	49:1;50:13;51:1,7	detail (1) 16:4	detail (1)
comparison (1) 13:24	consequences (1) 51:8	damaged (1) 5:19	details (1) 50:5	details (1)
compensable (1) 46:24	consistent (1) 50:8	damages (1) 43:4	develop (1) 49:12	diagonal (1) 18:13
compensate (2) 47:23;48:3	construction (6) 43:4,10;44:19;45:11, 24;46:8	dark (1) 30:4	diesel (1) 35:12	different (4) 13:10;25:16;32:9; 45:25
	consultant (2) 10:16;14:3	data (1) 7:25		
	contact (1) 37:8	date (11) 10:2,5,21;19:2,4; 24:15,18;30:20;42:10;		
	contacted (3)			

<b>differently</b> (2) 46:4;48:18	53:3	24	<b>existing</b> (1) 36:22	23:1
<b>difficult</b> (1) 36:4	<b>driveway</b> (72) 8:23;9:5,5;11:15; 15:11;16:3,3;17:13;	<b>entitled</b> (2) 39:15;50:19	<b>far</b> (3) 5:23;41:21;47:13	
<b>directed</b> (3) 20:9;25:8;32:17	18:9,14,22;19:1,10,12, 13,13,14,15,23;20:4;	<b>equipment</b> (6) 5:20;8:17;26:13; 31:6;44:18;46:19	<b>fault</b> (2) 41:1;47:9	
<b>directly</b> (4) 9:2;35:12;43:6; 55:25	21:4,5;23:7;24:12; 25:2,9,21;26:7,9,15,24; 27:12,14,17,22;29:12,	<b>equitable</b> (1) 56:19	<b>feature</b> (3) 22:12,24;25:20	
<b>dirt</b> (1) 31:14	19:33;4:4,5,6,7,14,17, 18,23,24;34:1,6,10,11, 14,14,18;35:7,13,16,	<b>Ergeon</b> (19) 11:10,14;12:1,3,9; 13:10;37:4,10,20,24;	<b>February</b> (2) 18:21;19:5	
<b>dirty</b> (1) 46:9	18:36;2,23;38:18;	38:7,9,19,21,24;39:3,9, 21;41:18	<b>feel</b> (3) 44:22;45:11;54:18	
<b>discovery</b> (1) 43:2	39:16,19;42:4,13,20; 43:4;45:3,4,13;46:20, 20	<b>Ergeoncom</b> (1) 13:5	<b>fees</b> (1) 15:12	
<b>discuss</b> (3) 54:19;55:5;56:14	<b>driveways</b> (3) 11:11;33:19;47:16	<b>Ergeon's</b> (2) 37:5;40:2	<b>few</b> (3) 4:6,17;5:3	
<b>discussed</b> (1) 29:9	<b>drops</b> (1) 45:3	<b>especially</b> (1) 33:15	<b>fifteen</b> (1) 52:23	
<b>discussion</b> (1) 55:22	<b>duplicate</b> (1) 5:2	<b>estimate</b> (20) 11:21,24;13:14,17, 22,23;14:2,13;15:3,4,5, 8,16;19:22;26:2,3,10;	<b>figure</b> (3) 13:20;49:2,2	
<b>disruptive</b> (1) 46:6	<b>dusty</b> (1) 46:9	37:1;43:24;48:10	<b>figures</b> (1) 15:8	
<b>distinguished</b> (1) 26:7	<b>E</b>	<b>estimates</b> (3) 13:4,21;32:11	<b>file</b> (2) 6:19;51:23	
<b>doc</b> (1) 6:1	<b>earlier</b> (2) 13:9,9	<b>et</b> (3) 26:15;35:23,23	<b>filed</b> (20) 4:6,20;5:7,13;6:7, 18:7;11:8;22:10:4;	
<b>docket</b> (8) 4:21;13:1;14:22; 21:11,12;22:5;38:9; 52:22	<b>easy</b> (1) 52:21	<b>even</b> (14) 25:4;27:18,25;28:1; 36:17,21,25;40:5;45:6, 14,21;52:14,14,14	15:7;16:9,14;27:5; 30:17;32:12,16,17;	
<b>document</b> (26) 5:4,9,12;7:12,15; 8:22;9:13,25;10:3,4; 12:3;14:1,8,20,25;15:6, 24;16:9,13,14;22:5,17, 23;25:16;32:20;37:11	<b>edge</b> (1) 34:14	<b>event</b> (1) 37:3	55:1,2	
<b>documents</b> (3) 6:6;46:15;49:19	<b>effort</b> (1) 53:7	<b>Everybody</b> (1) 46:6	<b>filings</b> (3) 5:23;11:13;12:4	
<b>dollar</b> (4) 13:20;35:19;42:20; 49:2	<b>eighteen</b> (2) 34:20;35:23	<b>Everyone</b> (1) 33:18	<b>filings</b> (2) 4:12,23	
<b>dollars</b> (12) 15:10,10;19:23; 26:14;36:14;37:23; 38:25;47:19;48:2; 52:18,23;53:10	<b>eighteen-</b> (2) 34:4,16	<b>evidence</b> (8) 40:12;49:11,12,25; 51:8;52:12,12,13	<b>filled</b> (1) 23:10	
<b>done</b> (23) 4:19;8:23;9:7,12,12; 10:24;13:16;19:17; 24:11,22,25;36:20; 45:9,11,24;46:5,22; 48:3;50:18,22,23; 53:13;55:1	<b>eighteen-wheel</b> (6) 33:24;34:8;35:12,16; 36:1;51:2	<b>evident</b> (1) 46:21	<b>filling</b> (1) 23:12	
<b>door</b> (6) 12:15;20:16,16,24; 23:25;27:13	<b>eighteen-wheeler</b> (2) 34:23;35:5	<b>exact</b> (1) 10:21	<b>find</b> (10) 6:6,14;7:21,23;8:10;	
<b>doubt</b> (5) 9:8,9;35:3;46:12,13	<b>either</b> (5) 18:18;34:20,20; 35:18;55:22	<b>exactly</b> (1) 13:19	11:24;19:1;20:21;	
<b>down</b> (6) 3:14;9:1;18:19; 20:10;45:6;53:3	<b>else</b> (7) 32:14;33:18;39:10; 44:7;46:4;50:15;54:14	<b>examined</b> (1) 51:16	21:17;25:17	
<b>draft</b> (1)	<b>else's</b> (1) 48:14	<b>example</b> (4) 12:10;34:7,12,13	<b>fine</b> (1) 54:5	
	<b>email</b> (7) 7:13;14:4,13,19; 37:13,17;38:16	<b>exceeding</b> (1) 35:6	<b>first</b> (16) 4:13,16,24,25;5:7,15, 17,18;7:12;11:6;21:12;	
	<b>encompassing</b> (1) 16:2	<b>except</b> (1) 6:10	27:2;45:14;48:12; 49:15;51:23	
	<b>encourage</b> (2) 49:23;55:24	<b>Excuse</b> (7) 8:5;12:17;23:5; 25:22;32:11,23;35:10	<b>fix</b> (4) 28:7;29:7,8;42:15	
	<b>engineer</b> (4) 9:15,24;47:16;48:19	<b>Exhibit</b> (8) 17:10,11;18:20;22:5, 9,10;29:19;43:23	<b>fixes</b> (1) 11:11	
	<b>entire</b> (5) 19:23;26:18,21,22,	<b>exist</b> (1) 24:11	<b>fixing</b> (1) 28:8	
			<b>flip</b> (1) 13:3	
			<b>floor</b> (10) 12:16,18;15:3;20:5, 6,8;21:1;26:19,22;	
			39:20	
			<b>follow</b> (4) 53:2,16,16;55:24	
			<b>followed</b> (1)	

47:3	15:25;20:15		57:2,5	40:6
<b>formal (3)</b>	<b>Good (9)</b>	<b>H</b>	<b>Honorable (1)</b>	<b>indication (1)</b>
43:2;50:8;54:8	2:15,19,23;25:4;		2:4	54:6
<b>forth (1)</b>	53:15;57:4,4,6,7		<b>hope (1)</b>	<b>indiscernible (1)</b>
28:6	<b>Google (4)</b>		42:17	39:5
<b>forward (5)</b>	17:11;18:21;28:22;		<b>house (23)</b>	<b>inform (3)</b>
27:7;52:8,11;55:22;	47:8		19:14;20:22;27:12,	54:1,15;55:8
56:18	<b>grand (1)</b>		16:28;2:29;25;31:16;	<b>informal (2)</b>
<b>forwarded (1)</b>	15:11		33:11,13;34:15,19,25;	43:2;47:2
9:24	<b>grant (1)</b>		40:21;44:15,16,16,18,	<b>informally (1)</b>
<b>found (1)</b>	47:20		18,21;45:6,9,10,10	43:7
21:6	<b>gray (1)</b>		<b>huge (1)</b>	<b>information (6)</b>
<b>four (1)</b>	18:9		49:8	7:5;13:13;23:13;
12:11	<b>Green (210)</b>		<b>humongous (3)</b>	33:1;38:6;50:17
<b>fourth (2)</b>	2:8,11,20,21,23;3:1,		33:12;34:5,5	<b>injury (1)</b>
4:13,20	3,5,7,9,10,12,17,20,20,		<b>husband (8)</b>	51:7
<b>FRANCISCO (1)</b>	23,24,25;4:4,6,8;5:3,		3:22;5:5,12;7:17;	<b>ink (1)</b>
2:1	10,24;6:2,8,12,17,22,		15:7;35:3;46:25;49:22	14:15
<b>free (1)</b>	25:9;18,21;10:2,9,11,		<b>husband's (1)</b>	<b>inside (5)</b>
55:10	15,18,21,25;11:6,10,		3:25	23:23;25:21,22;42:2;
<b>freedom (1)</b>	17,21,25;12:2,7,16;		<b>hypothetical (2)</b>	46:21
47:6	13:7,8;14:5,23;15:14,		36:3;51:3	<b>insist (2)</b>
<b>Friday (1)</b>	17,19,21,23;16:5,10,		<b>hypotheticals (2)</b>	48:7;49:6
4:21	11,16,18,21,25;17:5,		51:4;54:23	<b>insisted (1)</b>
<b>front (15)</b>	15,20,24;18:11,16,24;			27:7
5:9,20;16:12,19,21;	19:2,4,6,18,20;20:4,8,		<b>inspect (1)</b>	20:22
17:4;33:11,13;34:18;	15,20,21,25;21:7,11,		<b>inspected (2)</b>	43:24;48:20
38:15;44:15,17,20;	19,23;22:1,17,20;23:5,		<b>inspection (2)</b>	7:14,17
45:2,6	15,20,22,25;24:4,6,13,		<b>install (1)</b>	16:3
<b>fully (1)</b>	18,24;25:2,18,24;26:1,		<b>installation (1)</b>	15:11
41:10	5,8,12,20,23,25;27:10;		<b>installations (1)</b>	8:24
<b>further (5)</b>	28:8,11,13,15,17,19,		<b>instructions (1)</b>	53:3
39:8;49:17;51:15;	23:29:1,5,10,13,15,17,		<b>intense (1)</b>	44:19
53:5;55:22	23:30:3,7,12,17;31:3,8,		<b>interested (2)</b>	10:19;18:4
	12,21,22;32:2,6,15,21,		<b>interesting (1)</b>	23:14
<b>G</b>	23,25;33:20,25;34:12,		<b>interests (1)</b>	53:15
	18,21,23;35:1,8,10,17;		<b>interference (2)</b>	9:16;35:19
<b>garage (30)</b>	36:7,10,12,14,18,24;		<b>interviewed (1)</b>	48:20
12:15;15:2;20:5,6,	37:7,13,16,19,25;38:3,		<b>into (15)</b>	2:12;9:5;23:6;24:1;
16,16,17,24;21:1;	13,14,17,22;39:1,19;		26:10;28:2;33:17;34:1;	26:10;28:2;33:17;34:1;
23:24,25;24:1,7;25:22;	40:4,17,24;41:8;42:3,		35:16;37:1;41:16;42:4;	45:3,4,13
26:4,18,19;36:23;	18:43;8,13,14,20;44:1,		<b>introduced (1)</b>	4:24
39:20,25;42:2,4,9,20;	5,9,12;45:18,21;46:2,2;		<b>investigational (2)</b>	9:14,23
43:5,11;44:7;46:22;	48:2,6,21;49:15;52:6,		<b>investigative (3)</b>	15:1;16:1;35:20
52:17,17	7:53:5,18,22;54:6,10;		<b>issue (1)</b>	
<b>gas (2)</b>	55:24;56:2,10,15,17,			
29:24;31:12	22;57:6,7			
<b>gate (3)</b>	<b>Greens (2)</b>			
17:18;18:13;34:1	4:24;48:25			
<b>gave (2)</b>	<b>Green's (2)</b>			
13:20;36:21	42:7,12			
<b>gentleman (1)</b>	<b>ground (2)</b>			
41:17	7:19;27:19			
<b>giant (1)</b>	<b>Group (1)</b>			
27:18	32:10			
<b>given (3)</b>	<b>guess (6)</b>			
13:13;47:6;55:3	23:3,11;31:12;53:21;			
<b>gives (1)</b>	56:3,12			
49:3	<b>Gurd (3)</b>			
<b>Gmail (6)</b>	23:8;38:19;39:21			
7:15;11:12,13;13:6;				
37:14;38:16				
<b>goes (2)</b>				

53:14	22:22	<b>longer (1)</b>	5:13;12:25;21:10,22; 28:23;29:5;32:2;39:22; 40:13;43:14;44:1; 47:13;50:16;51:21; 53:5,6	41:10,20;42:22;47:4, 11,13;50:8;53:6;54:2; 55:3,6,7,14;56:3
<b>J</b>	<b>large (2)</b> 34:8;35:23	<b>look (19)</b>	<b>maybe (8)</b>	<b>morning (4)</b>
<b>Jensen (9)</b> 2:17;13:16;16:13; 17:6,11;18:20;29:19; 41:5;47:7	<b>largely (2)</b> 4:15;5:2	6:19;9:2;11:1,7; 13:12;14:8;16:24;17:2, 10:18;6:22;11,18;25:7; 29:23,25;42:13;52:3; 55:22;56:18	13:9;32:4,6,6;35:3; 40:10;44:16;55:16	2:15,19;23;27:11
<b>Jensen's (1)</b> 16:8	<b>last (3)</b> 4:6;6:8;17:6	<b>looked (11)</b>	<b>mean (19)</b>	<b>most (3)</b>
<b>job (3)</b> 38:24;49:11;53:15	<b>late (1)</b> 4:6	10:12;16:7;18:14; 25:20;28:21;42:2,6,7, 12,12;43:22	3:2;5:1;9:25;16:23; 17:17;20:22;22:18; 30:10,21;31:16,17; 34:9;36:1;38:1;42:7; 45:5;52:16;54:22; 56:14	4:22;22:3;37:16
<b>joining (1)</b> 4:1	<b>later (1)</b> 54:16	<b>looking (18)</b>	<b>measured (1)</b>	<b>motion (1)</b>
<b>joints (1)</b> 19:9	<b>lawyer (1)</b> 47:3	5:4;10:3;13:15; 14:10,17,21;16:24,25; 17:22,24;18:7;22:3,24; 25:19;29:18;31:1;37:8, 20	47:17	5:6
<b>judge (2)</b> 53:2,4	<b>leads (1)</b> 20:20	<b>looks (2)</b>	<b>mediation (3)</b>	<b>move (3)</b>
	<b>learn (1)</b> 49:14	2:22;7:13	42:17;47:25;55:12	28:1,6;52:8
	<b>least (4)</b> 12:21;32:8;43:5; 46:13	<b>loss (1)</b>	<b>Memorandum (1)</b>	<b>Mrs (2)</b>
	<b>leave (2)</b> 27:21;28:3	7:21	5:6	2:7;48:2
	<b>left (9)</b> 3:14;19:24;23:7; 24:2;28:9;29:23;30:25;	<b>lot (3)</b>	<b>mention (1)</b>	<b>much (6)</b>
	31:14,19	23:13;53:6,6	23:6	13:19;19:25;24:16; 36:11,13;47:6
<b>Keller (1)</b> 2:16	<b>left-hand (1)</b> 31:19	<b>loud (1)</b>	<b>measured (1)</b>	<b>multiple (2)</b>
<b>Kim (1)</b> 2:16	<b>letter (2)</b> 7:17;9:23	46:9	47:17	44:21,21
<b>kind (9)</b> 7:22;9:3;18:13; 19:11;20:17;29:7; 45:23;50:10;54:25	<b>liability (3)</b> 39:6;42:1;47:11	<b>lynchpin (1)</b> 43:3	<b>must (1)</b>	
<b>Kisak (39)</b> 9:20,22;10:14;14:19; 15:5,18,20;23:9;31:23; 32:6,13;34:7;35:11; 36:6,9;39:1,20,23;40:5, 17:41;6,17;43:6,15; 44:6,13;48:8,11;50:7, 23:52;2,8,25;53:2,24; 54:9,14,22;55:7	<b>license (1)</b> 19:25		48:7	
<b>Kisak's (7)</b> 26:3;32:19;34:4; 35:4;38:25;41:2;43:3	<b>likely (2)</b> 22:3;42:22			
<b>knew (1)</b> 21:19	<b>line (3)</b> 3:15;7:13;31:13			
<b>knock (1)</b> 27:13	<b>lines (1)</b> 19:8			
<b>knows (3)</b> 35:21;50:10;51:10	<b>listed (1)</b> 52:1			
<b>Kristen (4)</b> 2:17;16:8,13;17:6	<b>listen (4)</b> 49:11;51:18;53:1,1			
	<b>listening (1)</b> 44:12			
	<b>litigants (1)</b> 56:7			
	<b>litigation (1)</b> 37:1			
	<b>little (5)</b> 8:13;17:2;26:14; 30:3;52:21			
	<b>load (1)</b> 33:3			
	<b>locate (1)</b> 6:21			
	<b>located (1)</b> 29:24			
	<b>location (2)</b> 31:18;46:19			
<b>L</b>				
<b>lack (1)</b> 45:22				
<b>lady (1)</b> 6:12				
<b>laptop (1)</b>				

25:2 <b>notified</b> (2) 27:15;28:11 <b>notify</b> (5) 27:13,25;45:14,18, 19 <b>November</b> (4) 54:11,20;55:6,23 <b>number</b> (2) 16:9,15 <b>numbers</b> (2) 6:3;21:12	<b>one-by-one</b> (1) 22:7 <b>one-on-one</b> (1) 56:6 <b>one-page</b> (1) 14:4 <b>ones</b> (4) 5:24,25;6:2,8 <b>one's</b> (1) 30:9 <b>only</b> (15) 10:7,9;11:8,9,19; 12:2,5;15:4;18:22; 31:25;33:18;35:2; 38:10;47:16;56:24 <b>onto</b> (5) 9:2,4;19:10;27:24; 33:7 <b>oOo-</b> (1) 2:2 <b>open</b> (8) 14:23;20:24;22:6,6, 17,22;23:1;29:11 <b>opening</b> (1) 22:22 <b>operated</b> (1) 33:22 <b>opinion</b> (12) 12:18;34:13;39:2; 40:7;47:10,18;48:9,17, 22,25;50:17,24 <b>opinions</b> (2) 50:12;51:9 <b>opponent</b> (1) 56:8 <b>opportunity</b> (5) 6:20;43:1,6;49:20; 51:14 <b>opposition</b> (3) 5:6;32:12,16 <b>Ora</b> (2) 2:11;3:20 <b>oral</b> (2) 52:24,25 <b>orally</b> (1) 3:18 <b>order</b> (5) 2:3;38:25;49:23,23; 53:8 <b>ordered</b> (1) 49:24 <b>original</b> (2) 18:1;19:14 <b>originally</b> (1) 5:5 <b>others</b> (2) 4:18;5:12 <b>otherwise</b> (1) 56:25 <b>ought</b> (1) 52:18 <b>out</b> (15) 6:8;11:1;13:12;18:6;	21:18;25:5,6;27:19,21; 31:9,15;32:4;33:13; 41:13;54:23 <b>outfit</b> (1) 7:22 <b>outside</b> (2) 17:18;18:12 <b>outward</b> (1) 19:12 <b>outwards</b> (1) 20:18 <b>over</b> (3) 25:7;26:14;54:16 <b>own</b> (6) 41:5;46:21;47:2,23; 55:10,13 <b>owner</b> (4) 48:21,23;50:21,25 <b>owners</b> (1) 31:10	<b>party</b> (1) 48:3 <b>patch</b> (18) 29:6,20,22;30:5,6,8, 9,11,19,20,21;31:7; 33:7;42:11,14,22; 45:13;46:15 <b>patched</b> (3) 29:7;31:17;33:15 <b>patient</b> (1) 39:7 <b>pay</b> (8) 36:6,9,13;39:11; 48:2;49:24;52:18; 53:10 <b>penalty</b> (2) 47:5;48:13 <b>people</b> (4) 19:8;45:22;48:5; 49:8 <b>perform</b> (1) 11:23 <b>perhaps</b> (3) 13:7;41:10;55:10 <b>period</b> (2) 47:24;50:1 <b>perjury</b> (2) 47:5;48:13 <b>permanent</b> (1) 29:8 <b>permission</b> (1) 45:14 <b>person</b> (11) 6:15;7:1;16:14;32:5, 9,9;43:16,19,24;44:6; 50:16 <b>personal</b> (1) 51:7 <b>person's</b> (1) 48:9 <b>persuade</b> (1) 41:25 <b>persuaded</b> (2) 41:9,24 <b>persuasive</b> (1) 40:9 <b>PG</b> (1) 24:20 <b>PG&amp;E</b> (40) 2:5,17;7:16;9:8,16; 16:14;19:17;22:2; 24:11;25:3,8,9,10; 27:13;28:11;31:5; 33:10;35:19;36:21; 39:6,14;40:9;41:19; 42:15;46:5,7,14,23; 47:8,10;48:1;49:4,13, 14:5;14,17;52:10,12; 53:9;54:11 <b>PG&amp;E's</b> (2) 40:8;47:9 <b>ph</b> (2) 19:11;25:11	<b>photo</b> (3) 30:20;42:2,6 <b>photograph</b> (5) 14:3,14,18;16:8; 30:17 <b>photographs</b> (2) 4:17,22 <b>photos</b> (3) 42:5,12,13 <b>physically</b> (1) 44:7 <b>picture</b> (53) 6:15,15;7:4,16,18,18, 20,21,24,24;8:9,15,16, 20;16:10,11;17:6,13, 15,16,20,21,25;18:19, 21;19:2,4,20,21;20:2,6, 21,23,25;21:1,2,7,17; 22:4,21;23:20,23; 24:15,19;25:13,15,20; 28:18,21;29:18;30:22; 33:16;43:23 <b>pictures</b> (35) 5:19,21,22;6:9,22; 7:6,7,8,11,23;8:5,10, 20;16:7,18;17:7,8,9; 18:1,3,4;20:8,9,12; 21:5,6,10,18,20;22:2,3; 33:12;44:22;47:9; 49:18 <b>piece</b> (3) 24:4,5;30:3 <b>Piedmont</b> (2) 44:25;46:10 <b>pillar</b> (1) 31:19 <b>place</b> (1) 9:13 <b>plagiarized</b> (1) 41:3 <b>plate</b> (1) 19:25 <b>Please</b> (3) 3:13,19;27:3 <b>point</b> (6) 4:9;31:23;34:3; 35:18;45:12;46:2 <b>pole</b> (1) 31:19 <b>portion</b> (2) 14:2;42:15 <b>position</b> (2) 4:9;41:21 <b>possibility</b> (2) 15:2;39:22 <b>post</b> (1) 29:25 <b>postal</b> (1) 7:11 <b>posted</b> (2) 4:21;25:8 <b>posts</b> (2) 19:10;28:24
---	---	--	---	---

<b>potential (1)</b> 47:18	<b>properties (1)</b> 9:13	<b>reasonably (1)</b> 39:12	<b>removal (2)</b> 26:4,13	<b>resisting (1)</b> 35:20
<b>pounds (1)</b> 34:9	<b>property (18)</b> 9:3,4,7,10,12;10:14; 11:7;27:24;31:10,25; 39:23;43:24;48:20,25; 50:15,21,23;51:7	<b>reasons (1)</b> 52:1	<b>remove (3)</b> 15:10;16:3;26:17	<b>resolution (1)</b> 55:17
<b>prefer (1)</b> 42:24	<b>rebar (1)</b> 23:11	<b>removed (2)</b> 23:12;39:25	<b>resolved (1)</b> 56:18	
<b>preparation (2)</b> 53:13;55:21	<b>recall (1)</b> 31:5	<b>reorganized (2)</b> 2:16;41:23	<b>respect (1)</b> 45:22	
<b>prepare (4)</b> 39:18;50:8;53:24; 54:14	<b>recent (1)</b> 37:16	<b>repair (6)</b> 13:23;27:6,7,9; 36:22,23	<b>response (12)</b> 4:7,11,13,13,16,20, 25:11;19:14;7:21:12; 30:18;56:21	
<b>prepared (13)</b> 9:15,20,24;15:15,17; 29:19;32:1,10,14; 47:10,11,20,22	<b>provided (4)</b> 12:12;29:8;52:25; 53:2	<b>repairs (2)</b> 25:25;39:20	<b>responsibility (1)</b> 51:10	
<b>present (1)</b> 43:23	<b>provided (3)</b> 12:6;20:23;46:16	<b>repairing (2)</b> 11:15;12:19	<b>responsible (1)</b> 41:19	
<b>presentation (1)</b> 49:17	<b>provides (1)</b> 30:19	<b>repeat (1)</b> 50:9	<b>rest (1)</b> 16:3	
<b>presented (2)</b> 7:8;10:4	<b>providing (1)</b> 43:9	<b>repeated (2)</b> 4:18,22	<b>revisit (1)</b> 41:12	
<b>presiding (1)</b> 2:5	<b>pull (2)</b> 14:8;21:17	<b>replace (2)</b> 19:23;26:18	<b>right (43)</b> 2:13,23;3:4,10,21; 4:5;5:18;7:23;9:25;	
<b>presumably (3)</b> 26:17;31:17;35:21	<b>put (3)</b> 34:8;52:2;54:23	<b>replaced (1)</b> 19:15	10:23;12:7,21;13:2; 14:21;15:21,22,23;	
<b>presume (3)</b> 6:19;18:10;31:3	<b>Q</b>		18:10,23;19:10,24; 21:3;22:20;23:2,24;	
<b>presumptions (1)</b> 35:22	<b>qualifications (4)</b> 47:5;50:12;52:15; 54:24	<b>recommendation (1)</b> 54:21	24:23;27:25;29:10,21, 25:30;11,12,13,24;	
<b>pretty (1)</b> 34:22	<b>qualified (2)</b> 48:16;52:19	<b>record (9)</b> 3:19;13:1;41:14,25; 43:1;47:12;52:2;53:8; 56:8	31:7,11,22;37:25; 38:11,22,23;46:16;	
<b>previously (1)</b> 15:1	<b>questionable (1)</b> 51:25	<b>recover (1)</b> 46:25	52:12	
<b>printed (2)</b> 18:5,6	<b>question's (1)</b> 36:22	<b>recovery (2)</b> 47:13;49:1	<b>right-hand (1)</b> 17:18	
<b>printer (1)</b> 18:7	<b>Quote (12)</b> 12:11,13,19;37:5,6,7, 10,20,24;38:21;40:1,2	<b>red (1)</b> 3:15	<b>rock (1)</b> 21:1	
<b>prior (2)</b> 4:22;25:15	<b>quotes (1)</b> 11:15	<b>redo (4)</b> 36:17;42:20,20,21	<b>roll (1)</b> 33:8	
<b>private (1)</b> 56:3	<b>R</b>		<b>roller (1)</b> 33:21	
<b>probably (3)</b> 8:19;35:25;47:10	<b>ran (3)</b> 19:7,8,12	<b>refer (1)</b> 8:9	<b>roughly (1)</b> 24:16	
<b>problem (4)</b> 14:6;31:22;36:4; 41:16	<b>reach (2)</b> 42:22;51:13	<b>reference (2)</b> 38:8;51:3	<b>routine (1)</b> 54:11	
<b>proceedings (1)</b> 57:8	<b>read (6)</b> 16:2;32:12,20,20; 35:10;48:24	<b>referring (6)</b> 14:25;15:1;16:11; 21:11;29:5;43:9	<b>rudeness (1)</b> 46:18	
<b>professional (5)</b> 11:3;40:6;45:8; 48:17;54:25	<b>reading (2)</b> 9:14;13:1	<b>refers (1)</b> 11:14	<b>rules (1)</b> 47:4	
<b>progress (4)</b> 53:23;54:2,6,17	<b>reads (1)</b> 34:4	<b>refunded (1)</b> 36:15	<b>ruling (1)</b> 52:24	
<b>project (3)</b> 12:14;15:8,15	<b>real (1)</b> 51:4	<b>refuse (2)</b> 27:8;45:21	<b>running (5)</b> 19:7;21:5;23:25; 29:1;42:3	
<b>promise (2)</b> 17:3;46:10	<b>really (9)</b> 15:25;32:14;41:16; 42:1,16;47:6;56:10,13, 20	<b>refused (2)</b> 27:7;45:13	<b>runs (1)</b> 20:15	
<b>promote (1)</b> 52:3	<b>reason (3)</b> 24:14;28:3;33:2	<b>regardless (1)</b> 31:10	<b>Rupp (66)</b> 2:6,14,15,15;4:5,10; 7:4,5;8:9,14;12:23,25;	
<b>proof (3)</b> 15:6,25;34:6	<b>reasonable (2)</b> 42:18;47:24	<b>relat (1)</b> 19:1	13:3,8;14:1;12;21:7,8, 10,15;22:3,4,8,10,18;	
<b>proper (2)</b> 23:10;35:6		<b>relevant (1)</b> 49:14	29:3,4,5,11,16;30:15, 17,24;31:18;32:12,16;	
		<b>reliable (2)</b> 8:13;11:3	38:5,8;39:7;41:1,22,	
		<b>relying (1)</b> 49:19		
		<b>remember (3)</b> 5:8;12:5;43:17		

23:42:9;44:12;46:16; 47:20,22;49:6,20;50:1; 51:19,21;53:7,21;54:5, 15,15;55:3,8,13,20,25; 56:17,23;57:5,7	38:2;55:12 <b>sent (16)</b> 6:8;7:6,9;8:6,7;9:18; 11:12,21;17:16;18:1; 20:9,25;21:3;22:1; 33:12,16 <b>separate (1)</b> 42:22 <b>September (5)</b> 5:5;7:12;10:4;12:4; 17:12 <b>service (1)</b> 7:11 <b>services (1)</b> 13:14 <b>session (1)</b> 2:4 <b>settlement (5)</b> 42:18,23;51:22,24; 52:4 <b>several (3)</b> 4:18;5:7;7:6 <b>Sewer (1)</b> 7:13 <b>shadow (2)</b> 30:1,4 <b>shaking (1)</b> 44:18 <b>share (3)</b> 14:9;22:24;23:19 <b>share-screen (1)</b> 22:12 <b>sharing (3)</b> 22:14,14;25:20 <b>sheet (3)</b> 12:8;20:25;21:1 <b>sheetrock (2)</b> 24:4,5 <b>shortly (1)</b> 24:16 <b>Show (4)</b> 18:25;20:12,24;23:3 <b>showed (1)</b> 25:18 <b>showing (1)</b> 6:13 <b>shows (10)</b> 6:23,25;7:18,20; 8:16,17;9:16;21:3; 45:12,22 <b>shut (1)</b> 34:1 <b>side (4)</b> 23:7;25:8;29:23,24 <b>sides (3)</b> 26:21;55:17,20 <b>sidewalk (3)</b> 17:18;29:22;45:7 <b>sign (5)</b> 5:12,14;25:8;41:3; 47:4 <b>signed (5)</b> 5:13;38:18;40:6;	52:1,14 <b>significant (1)</b> 49:9 <b>similarly (1)</b> 47:6 <b>simply (1)</b> 54:14 <b>Sinclair (2)</b> 15:17;32:9 <b>site (1)</b> 28:22 <b>sitting (1)</b> 27:19 <b>situation (4)</b> 40:19,19,22;50:22 <b>slab (9)</b> 15:10;26:3,4,7,8,13, 18,18,21 <b>slower (1)</b> 8:14 <b>small (4)</b> 11:11;17:17,25; 19:24 <b>smashing (1)</b> 33:8 <b>solution (2)</b> 12:18;56:1 <b>somebody (12)</b> 27:22,23;32:8;39:10; 40:5;41:7;43:16;44:7; 46:8;47:7;48:7,8 <b>someone (6)</b> 13:12;35:21;46:4; 48:1;50:15;51:10 <b>someplace (1)</b> 39:25 <b>somewhat (1)</b> 47:1 <b>somewhere (2)</b> 32:14;46:4 <b>sorry (7)</b> 7:3;16:7;25:13; 28:15;32:15;36:7;12 <b>sort (5)</b> 16:2;20:8;42:18; 43:3;56:6 <b>sources (1)</b> 32:19 <b>spacing (1)</b> 25:21 <b>speak (3)</b> 28:4;43:6;56:4 <b>speaking (1)</b> 4:2 <b>specifically (1)</b> 5:4 <b>speculation (1)</b> 35:7 <b>spirit (1)</b> 51:22 <b>spoke (3)</b> 25:10;31:8;36:24 <b>spoken (3)</b>	13:11,16;44:13 <b>spreading (1)</b> 19:12 <b>staging (3)</b> 5:20;8:17;33:11 <b>standards (1)</b> 45:11 <b>standing (3)</b> 29:20,21;30:10 <b>start (6)</b> 3:12,13,13,17;41:22; 47:25 <b>started (2)</b> 19:12;20:17 <b>starts (1)</b> 53:24 <b>state (3)</b> 3:19;48:9,18 <b>stated (1)</b> 33:10 <b>statement (2)</b> 8:15;50:11 <b>statements (1)</b> 4:15 <b>states (1)</b> 12:1 <b>stating (1)</b> 52:10 <b>station (1)</b> 18:9 <b>stationed (1)</b> 34:15 <b>status (1)</b> 55:23 <b>stayed (1)</b> 9:3 <b>steamroller (4)</b> 33:7,16,20,21 <b>still (12)</b> 25:22,23;28:17,19, 20,24;29:1;30:6;37:1, 4;49:10;55:10 <b>stop (1)</b> 28:8 <b>stopping (1)</b> 46:20 <b>stored (1)</b> 39:25 <b>story (1)</b> 51:4 <b>street (13)</b> 4:19;6:13,16,23;7:1; 9:1,3;19:7;33:18;34:2; 43:10;46:6,8 <b>structural (12)</b> 9:15,17,24;15:2,12; 33:3;39:22;40:13,22; 47:15;48:18;50:13 <b>stuck (1)</b> 48:5 <b>stuff (2)</b> 24:7;33:2 <b>subcontractor (2)</b>	41:19;42:15 <b>subject (1)</b> 7:13 <b>submission (1)</b> 53:20 <b>submit (1)</b> 49:4 <b>submitted (1)</b> 51:25 <b>subsequently (1)</b> 31:17 <b>suffered (3)</b> 46:12;47:14;48:25 <b>suggest (1)</b> 46:16 <b>suggesting (1)</b> 26:17 <b>suggestion (3)</b> 51:21;53:24;54:4 <b>suggests (2)</b> 19:23;35:5 <b>supervisor (1)</b> 28:4 <b>supplement (2)</b> 41:15;42:25 <b>support (1)</b> 5:6 <b>supports (1)</b> 40:21 <b>supposed (1)</b> 56:13 <b>sure (6)</b> 7:7,8;13:5,6,19;50:7 <b>swear (2)</b> 24:9,13 <b>switched (1)</b> 25:16
<b>T</b>				
table (1) 17:5 <b>talk (4)</b> 10:13;25:9;27:23; 56:3 <b>talked (1)</b> 8:25 <b>talking (8)</b> 6:20;10:25;12:21; 16:1;18:2;35:21;40:15; 50:10 <b>telling (5)</b> 9:11;24:8;41:6,6; 53:16 <b>tells (1)</b> 37:17 <b>temporary (1)</b> 29:7 <b>ten (1)</b> 52:23 <b>tens (1)</b> 34:9 <b>term (1)</b>				

15:8	45:4	8:23	36:3	47:25;55:11
<b>terms (2)</b> 46:14;53:14	<b>trenches (1)</b> 45:2	<b>unmuted (1)</b> 3:3	<b>W</b>	<b>wish (2)</b> 21:19;51:15
<b>testify (3)</b> 41:17;49:7,8	<b>trial (3)</b> 41:16;51:15,16	<b>unprofessional (1)</b> 44:23	<b>wagon (1)</b> 18:9	<b>wishes (1)</b> 49:21
<b>testimony (2)</b> 4:16;46:21	<b>tried (4)</b> 21:17;37:17;42:17; 46:14	<b>unstable (1)</b> 23:11	<b>wait (2)</b> 14:7,16	<b>within (1)</b> 37:2
<b>therefore (2)</b> 47:12,18	<b>trip (1)</b> 30:9	<b>unwilling (1)</b> 51:12	<b>waiting (1)</b> 2:20	<b>without (2)</b> 53:6;55:11
<b>third (4)</b> 4:12,15;21:12;48:3	<b>truck (10)</b> 33:22,24;34:8,24,24; 35:4,12,23;36:1;51:2	<b>up (32)</b> 4:2;6:12,15;7:1;9:4; 10:12;14:8,22;18:6; 19:7,8,9,12;20:12,16; 21:3,5;22:17,22,22,23; 27:14,16,24;33:1,17; 35:16;42:3;53:16,17; 55:2,24	<b>walked (1)</b> 31:15	<b>woman (2)</b> 7:22,23
<b>thirdly (1)</b> 48:16	<b>truth (1)</b> 35:15	<b>upon (12)</b> 6:6;41:9;46:17; 47:14;49:5,25;50:17, 24:51;4:52;11;53:9,12	<b>walking (3)</b> 6:12,15;7:1	<b>word (4)</b> 3:15;31:24;34:5; 41:4
<b>Thomas (2)</b> 2:15;41:22	<b>Try (6)</b> 3:14;17:1;25:17; 41:12;47:23;55:25	<b>wants (5)</b> 39:8;47:11;49:6,6; 51:17	<b>words (3)</b> 40:12;44:4;48:11	
<b>thorough (2)</b> 49:16;55:14	<b>trying (4)</b> 14:6;21:24;49:14; 52:13	<b>water (1)</b> 46:7	<b>work (41)</b> 4:19;6:23;8:23,23; 9:9;10:24;11:22;16:4; 17:12;19:17;24:11,12, 16,22,24;36:15,17,19, 20,23;37:6;39:14; 41:13;44:22;45:1,9,23, 24:46:5,6,22;48:2,4,22; 50:10,18,22,22,23; 54:25;55:25	
<b>though (4)</b> 18:8;34:4;35:4; 36:25	<b>TUESDAY (1)</b> 2:1	<b>way (18)</b> 5:11;7:8;8:6,8,10,11, 13:21;23:24;23;34:10;	<b>workers (2)</b> 25:6;27:13	
<b>thousands (1)</b> 34:9	<b>turn (5)</b> 3:11;25:14,20;34:2; 41:15	<b>urge (2)</b> 54:22,22	<b>working (3)</b> 44:15,17;56:18	
<b>three-by-five (7)</b> 12:13;23:6;27:6; 29:6;42:11,14,21	<b>turning (2)</b> 46:19;50:20	<b>use (1)</b> 34:5	<b>world (1)</b> 35:15	
<b>times (1)</b> 44:21	<b>twice (1)</b> 45:19	<b>used (3)</b> 33:7;34:12;41:4	<b>worry (1)</b> 33:22	
<b>tiny (2)</b> 17:25;33:18	<b>two (14)</b> 4:12;13:4,21;14:15; 18:9;21:10;22:2;32:8; 38:8,10,15,20;42:19; 43:16	<b>using (2)</b> 32:25;34:7	<b>write (2)</b> 52:17;53:3	
<b>title (3)</b> 5:6,17;37:23	<b>type (1)</b> 9:16	<b>V</b>	<b>writer (1)</b> 12:11	
<b>today (6)</b> 12:21;25:23;35:3; 41:24;53:9;56:24	<b>typically (1)</b> 50:13	<b>various (1)</b> 6:6	<b>writes (1)</b> 12:14	
<b>today's (2)</b> 2:18;54:12	<b>U</b>	<b>vehicle (1)</b> 34:5	<b>writing (2)</b> 6:3;50:11	
<b>together (4)</b> 17:2;22:6;25:19; 38:20	<b>Um-hum (1)</b> 24:6	<b>verify (2)</b> 19:7;40:18	<b>written (3)</b> 10:9;23:15;55:1	
<b>told (9)</b> 12:4;27:21;28:3; 31:24;35:13;37:15; 39:7;41:7;47:7	<b>unable (2)</b> 51:12,13	<b>vertical (1)</b> 24:3	<b>wrote (1)</b> 12:11	
<b>took (1)</b> 14:21	<b>unbelievable (1)</b> 44:18	<b>vest (2)</b> 7:2,22	<b>Y</b>	
<b>total (4)</b> 11:15;15:11;27:1; 37:24	<b>under (17)</b> 5:17;20:16;23:15,25; 24:10;26:14;31:13; 40:21;41:14;42:25; 43:8;47:4;48:13;50:11; 51:16;52:3;53:20	<b>vibrating (2)</b> 33:9;46:20	<b>year (2)</b> 13:9;37:2	
<b>towards (1)</b> 51:22	<b>underneath (1)</b> 23:12	<b>vibration (1)</b> 50:21	<b>yellow (1)</b> 7:1	
<b>track (1)</b> 43:15	<b>unknown (1)</b> 8:2	<b>vibrations (1)</b> 33:9	<b>yesterday (1)</b> 4:21	
<b>tractor-trailer (1)</b> 35:22	<b>unless (2)</b> 52:8;56:25	<b>video (4)</b> 3:12,13,15,17		
<b>traditional (2)</b> 41:3;47:4	<b>unlike (1)</b>	<b>view (3)</b> 10:18;30:19;42:10	<b>Z</b>	
<b>tragedies (1)</b> 51:8		<b>viewing (1)</b> 9:17	<b>Zoom (1)</b> 49:8	
<b>trapped (1)</b> 44:16		<b>violating (1)</b> 35:6	<b>1</b>	
<b>treated (1)</b> 46:3		<b>visit (2)</b> 43:18;50:15		
<b>trench (1)</b>		<b>visiting (1)</b> 50:14		
		<b>visitors (1)</b> 43:16		
		<b>vulnerable (1)</b>		

<b>1 (1)</b> 5:18	15:12;32:11			
<b>1,500 (1)</b> 36:14	<b>4</b>			
<b>10 (5)</b> 13:3;14:3,14;54:12; 55:6	<b>4 (1)</b> 30:18 <b>429-6 (1)</b> 22:5			
<b>10,000 (1)</b> 15:10	<b>8</b>			
<b>100,000 (1)</b> 52:18				
<b>10th (1)</b> 9:23	<b>8,264 (1)</b> 37:23			
<b>11 (5)</b> 13:1;14:4,13,15;38:9	<b>8/10/2019 (1)</b> 10:5			
<b>11278 (3)</b> 13:1;14:2;38:9	<b>80,000 (1)</b> 32:11			
<b>11355 (1)</b> 30:18	<b>9</b>			
<b>11408 (3)</b> 16:9,15,16	<b>9 (5)</b> 14:2,13,15;38:9,11			
<b>11429-6 (2)</b> 21:13,14	<b>9,000 (1)</b> 26:14			
<b>11429-7 (1)</b> 22:8	<b>9,200 (1)</b> 15:10			
<b>12th (3)</b> 16:9,14;32:18	<b>9,870 (1)</b> 37:24			
<b>14th (1)</b> 11:14	<b>9th (4)</b> 54:11,20;55:6,23			
<b>18,000 (3)</b> 37:21,24;38:24				
<b>19 (1)</b> 2:1				
	<b>2</b>			
<b>2015 (2)</b> 17:12;18:15				
<b>2017 (3)</b> 24:19,20,21				
<b>2019 (3)</b> 9:23;18:21;19:5				
<b>2021 (3)</b> 2:1;11:14;36:17				
<b>20th (3)</b> 5:5;10:4;12:5				
<b>25th (1)</b> 7:12				
<b>2845 (1)</b> 8:23				
	<b>3</b>			
<b>3 (1)</b> 5:16				
<b>30,000 (4)</b> 19:23;35:19;38:25; 53:10				
<b>30,000- (2)</b> 42:19;49:1				
<b>30,000-dollar (3)</b> 27:1;47:12;49:2				
<b>30,877 (2)</b>				